

Lexington City Public Schools

FFY 2016 SPECIAL EDUCATION PERFORMANCE REPORT

Lexington City Public Schools
300A White St
Lexington, Virginia 24450-1937

The *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) requires each state to report to the public on state-level data and individual school division-level data and to report on whether the state and the divisions met state targets described in the state's special education [State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report](#). This report compares the division's performance to the State's target.

Indicator 1: Graduation

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance (based on data from 2015-2016) | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma | NA | ≥52.00% | Objective not evaluated due to no high school in school system |

Indicator 2: Dropouts

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance (based on data from 2015-2016) | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|--|---|------------------------|--|
| Students with disabilities grades 7-12 who dropped out | NA | ≤1.60% | Objective not evaluated due to no high school in school system |

Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments

| Indicator Description | AMO Targets Met |
|---|-----------------|
| 3a. Division met AMO targets for students with disabilities subgroup | Not Required |

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 3b. Students with disabilities participation rate for English/reading | 100% | ≥95.0% | Yes |
| 3b. Students with disabilities participation rate for math | 100% | ≥95.0% | Yes |
| 3c. Students with disabilities proficiency rate for English/reading | 40% | ≥66.0% | No |
| 3c. Students with disabilities proficiency rate for math | 39% | ≥65.0% | No |

Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Significant Discrepancy |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4a. Division identified with significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs | No |
| The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. | No discrepancy in 4A |
| 4b. Division identified with significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs | No |
| The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. | No discrepancy in 4B |

Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| 5a. Students included in regular classroom 80% or more of the day | 71.64% | ≥69.0% | Yes |
| 5b. Students included in regular classroom less than 40% of the day | 2.99% | ≤10.0% | Yes |
| 5c. Students served in separate public or private school, residential, home-based or hospital facility | 11.94% | ≤3.0% | No |

Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 6a. Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a regular early childhood program and receive the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program | ≤ 10 Students | ≥33.0% | Too few students to evaluate |
| 6b. Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a separate special education class, separate school, or residential facility | ≤ 10 Students | ≤21.0% | Too few students to evaluate |

Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

| Indicator Description | Outcome | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 7a. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) | A1. % entered below age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥89.9% | Too few students to evaluate |
| | A2. % functioning within age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥57.7% | Too few students to evaluate |
| 7b. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy) | B1. % entered below age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥93.8% | Too few students to evaluate |
| | B2. % functioning within age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥46.8% | Too few students to evaluate |
| 7c. Use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs | C1. % entered below age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥90.8% | Too few students to evaluate |
| | C2. % functioning within age expectations | ≤ 10 Students | ≥65.1% | Too few students to evaluate |

Indicator 8: Parent Involvement

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities | 100% | ≥72.0% | Yes |

Indicator 9: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Special Education and Related Services

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Disproportionate Representation |
|---|---|
| Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification | No |

Indicator 10: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Disproportionate Representation |
|---|--|
| Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification | No |

Indicator 11: Timeline for Eligibility

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Children with parental consent for initial evaluation, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 65 business days | 100% | 100% | Yes |

Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Children determined eligible and IEPs developed and implemented by their third birthdays | ≤ 10 Students | 100% | Too few students to evaluate |

Indicator 13: Secondary IEP Goals and Transition Services

| Indicator Description | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority. | ≤ 10 Students | 100% | Too few students to evaluate |

Indicator 14: Postsecondary Outcomes

| Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school were: | 2016-2017 Division Performance | 2016-2017 State Target | State Target Met |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 14a. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school | NA | ≥35.0% | Objective not evaluated due to no high school in school system |
| 14b. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school | NA | ≥62.75% | Objective not evaluated due to no high school in school system |
| 14c. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school | NA | ≥71.5% | Objective not evaluated due to no high school in school system |