

Virginia Board of Education Agenda Item



Agenda Item: I

Date: September 27, 2012

Title	Final Review of Revised <i>Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents</i>		
Presenter	Dr. Mark Allan, Director of Licensure and School Leadership		
E-mail	Mark.Allan@doe.virginia.gov	Phone	(804) 371-2471

Purpose of Presentation:

Action required by state or federal law or regulation.

Previous Review or Action:

Previous review and action. Specify date and action taken below:

Date: July 22, 2010

Action: Report on the Study and Development of Model Teacher and Administrator Evaluation Systems

Date: July 26, 2012

Action: First Review of Revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*

Action Requested:

Final review: Action requested at this meeting.

Alignment with Board of Education Goals: Please indicate (X) all that apply:

	Goal 1: Expanded Opportunities to Learn
X	Goal 2: Accountability of Student Learning
	Goal 3: Nurturing Young Learners
	Goal 4: Strong Literacy and Mathematics Skills
X	Goal 5: Highly Qualified and Effective Teachers and Administrators
	Goal 6: Sound Policies for Student Success
X	Goal 7: Safe and Secure Schools
	Other Priority or Initiative. Specify:

Background Information and Statutory Authority:

Goal 2: The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress. The proposed *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* call for each superintendent to receive a summative evaluation rating and that the rating be determined by weighting the first six standards equally at 10 percent each, and that the seventh standard, student academic progress, account for 40 percent of the summative evaluation.

Goal 5: Because superintendents are so fundamentally important to school division and school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of superintendent performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance superintendent effectiveness.

Goal 7: The proposed *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* include Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety. The superintendent is to foster the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

In response to the *1999 Education Accountability and Quality Enhancement Act* (HB2710 and SB1145) approved by the Virginia General Assembly, the Board of Education approved the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* in January 2000. At the July 2010 meeting, the Board of Education received a report from the Virginia Department of Education that provided a work plan to study and develop model teacher and administrator evaluation systems that would result in revisions to the Board's uniform performance standards and evaluation criteria. On April 28, 2011, the Board of Education approved revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers* and on February 23, 2012, the Board approved the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*.

The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires that (1) superintendent evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

...B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents*. Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum. Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities....

...E. Each local school board shall provide a program of high-quality professional development... (iv) for administrative personnel designed to increase proficiency in instructional leadership and management, including training in the evaluation and documentation of teacher and administrator performance based on student academic progress and the skills and knowledge of such instructional or administrative personnel.

Section 22.1-60.1 of the *Code of Virginia* states:

Each local school board shall evaluate the division superintendent annually consistent with the performance objectives set forth in *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* as required by § 22.1-253.13:5.

Section 22.1-294 of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

...B. Each local school board shall adopt for use by the division superintendent clearly defined criteria for a performance evaluation process for principals, assistant principals, and supervisors that are consistent with the performance objectives set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* as provided in § 22.1-253.13:5 and that includes, among other things, an assessment of such administrators' skills and knowledge; **student academic progress** and school gains in student learning; and effectiveness in addressing school safety and enforcing student discipline. The division superintendent shall implement such performance evaluation process in making employment recommendations to the school board pursuant to § 22.1-293.

Summary of Important Issues:

The Virginia Department of Education established a work group to conduct a comprehensive study of superintendent evaluation in spring 2012. The work group included principals, teachers, superintendents, a human resources representative, a parent representative, and representatives from professional organizations (Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals, Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals, Virginia Association of School Superintendents, Virginia Education Association, Virginia School Boards Association, and the Virginia Parent Teacher Association), expert consultants, and Department of Education personnel. Virginia's superintendent evaluation work group members are listed within Attachment A.

The goals of the superintendent evaluation work group were to:

- develop and recommend policy revisions related to superintendent evaluation, as appropriate;
- compile and synthesize current research related to superintendent evaluation and superintendent performance standards;
- examine existing state law, policies, and procedures relating to superintendent evaluation;
- establish the use of multiple data sources for documenting performance, including opportunities for superintendents to present evidence of their own performance as well as student growth;
- develop a procedure for conducting performance reviews that stresses accountability, promotes professional improvement, and increases superintendents' involvement in the evaluation process;
- revise existing documents developed to support superintendent evaluation across Virginia, including the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* to reflect current research and embed student growth as a significant factor of superintendent evaluation protocols; and
- examine the use of superintendent evaluation to improve student achievement.

Work group meetings were held in Richmond in April and May 2012. The work group concluded its work in late May 2012, and a subcommittee of the work group met in June 2012 to review the draft documents before the final recommendation was made to the Virginia Board of Education.

The work group developed the guidance document *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* (Attachment A) requiring Board of Education approval.

Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents

State statute requires that superintendent evaluations be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document. The additional information contained in the document is provided as guidance for local school boards in the development of evaluation systems for superintendents.

Also included in the Board item is a document, *Research Synthesis of Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Competencies and Standards* (Attachment B), that provides the research base supporting the selection and implementation of the proposed performance standards and evaluation criteria. This is an informational Department of Education document that does not require Board of Education approval.

The attached document, *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, sets forth seven performance standards for all Virginia superintendents. Pursuant to state law, superintendent evaluations must be consistent with the following performance standards (objectives) included in this document:

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and evaluators (i.e., school board members) reasonably understand the job expectations. The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach of performance standards and performance indicators. Performance standards define the criteria expected when superintendents perform their major duties. Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which superintendents are meeting each standard. For each standard, sample performance indicators are provided. In addition, the evaluation guidelines provide assistance to school boards regarding the documentation of superintendent performance with an emphasis on the use of multiple measures for superintendent evaluation rather than relying on a single measure of performance.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress. The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. The *Guidelines* call for each superintendent to receive a summative evaluation rating and that the rating be determined by weighting the first six standards equally at 10 percent each, and that the seventh standard, student academic progress, account for 40 percent of the summative evaluation.

One approach to linking student academic progress to superintendent performance involves building the capacity for superintendents to interpret and use student achievement data to set target goals for divisionwide student improvement. Setting goals -- not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance -- is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. Whenever possible, it is recommended that the goals be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available, such as state performance benchmarks.

To be able to measure goal attainment, superintendents must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school division student population's learning needs and priorities. School boards and superintendents should develop mutually agreed-upon measures to include in the evaluation to best reflect the priorities of the division. Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures. Additionally, it is important that multiple, relevant measures be used.

Impact on Fiscal and Human Resources:

Implementation of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* will require additional training.

Timetable for Further Review/Action:

Upon Board of Education approval, the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* will become effective July 1, 2014.

Superintendent's Recommendation:

The Superintendent of Public Instruction recommends that the Board of Education approve the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, to become effective on July 1, 2014; however, school boards and divisions are authorized to implement the guidelines and standards prior to July 1, 2014.

***Guidelines for Uniform Performance
Standards and Evaluation
Criteria for Superintendents***



*Virginia Department of Education
P. O. Box 2120
Richmond, Virginia 23218-2120*

***Presented to the Board of Education
July 26, 2012, and September 27, 2012***

Acknowledgements

The Virginia Department of Education expresses appreciation to the members of the Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group for their invaluable input and support of the project.

Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group

Rev. Jarvis E. Bailey, School Board Member, Fredericksburg City Public Schools

Mr. James Baldwin, Executive Director, Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Dr. Randy Barrack, Executive Director, Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals

Dr. BJ Brewer, Superintendent, Amelia County Public Schools

Dr. Sarah Campbell, Superintendent, Alleghany County Public Schools

Ms. Anne Carson, President, Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Dr. Walter R. Clemons, Superintendent, Northampton County Public Schools

Dr. Jack Dale, Superintendent, Fairfax County Public Schools

Mr. Vincent Darby, Principal, Granby Elementary School, Norfolk Public Schools, Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Dr. Lyle Evans, Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources and Administrative Services, Chesterfield County Public Schools

Ms. Kimberly B. Gray, School Board Member, Richmond City Public Schools

Dr. Angela Gwynne-Atwater, Principal, Liberty Elementary School, Loudoun County Public Schools, Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Ms. Kari M. Hall, Teacher, Henrico County Public Schools, Virginia Education Association

Dr. Mark Jones, Superintendent, King William County Public Schools

Ms. Gena Cook Keller, Superintendent, Fluvanna County Public Schools

Mr. William S. Kidd, School Board Member, Wythe County Public Schools

Mr. D. Patrick Lacy, Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Mark Lineburg, Superintendent, Bristol City Public Schools

Dr. Keith Perrigan, Principal, Patrick Henry High School, Washington County Public Schools,
Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals

Ms. Sharon E. Pope, Principal, Harry F. Byrd Middle School, Virginia Association of
Secondary School Principals

Dr. Betsy Roberson, Director, Office of Teaching and Learning, Virginia Education
Association

Mr. Wendell C. Roberts, Staff Attorney, Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Patrick J. Russo, Superintendent, Henrico County Public Schools

Mr. Leonard Stewart, Jr., School Board Member, Lexington City Public Schools

Dr. Thomas A. Whitley, Principal, Lakeland High School, Virginia Association of
Secondary School Principals

Mrs. Diana D. Winston, School Board Member, Henrico County Public Schools

Project Consultants

Dr. James H. Stronge, Heritage Professor of Educational Policy, Planning, and Leadership,
The College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia

With assistance from: **Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson**, Post-Doctoral Research Associate,
The College of William and Mary

Department of Education Staff

Dr. Patricia I. Wright, Superintendent of Public Instruction, Virginia Department of Education

Dr. Mark R. Allan, Director of Licensure and School Leadership and Evaluation
Project Coordinator, Virginia Department of Education

Dr. Deborah Jonas, Former Executive Director of Research and Strategic Planning, Virginia
Department of Education

Ms. Patty Pitts, Assistant Superintendent for Teacher Education and Licensure, Virginia
Department of Education

Dr. Kathleen Smith, Director of School Improvement, Virginia Department of Education

Ms. Michelle Vucci, Director of Policy, Virginia Department of Education

Dr. Linda Wallinger, Assistant Superintendent for Instruction, Virginia Department of Education

Ms. Anne Wescott, Assistant Superintendent for Policy and Communications, Virginia Department of Education

Table of Contents

Part 1: Introduction	1
Why Good Evaluation is Necessary.....	1
Limitations of Current Evaluation Systems.....	2
Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness.....	2
Purposes of Evaluation.....	3
Purposes of this Document.....	3
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents	5
Defining Superintendent Performance Standards.....	5
Performance Standards.....	5
Performance Indicators.....	6
Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance	14
Alignment of Performance Standards with Data Sources.....	15
Self-Evaluation.....	16
Documentation Evidence.....	19
Client Survey.....	22
Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	25
Why Connect Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress?.....	25
Implementation Concerns.....	26
Virginia Law.....	26
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation.....	26
Goal Setting.....	26
Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance	31
Formative Assessment.....	31
Summative Evaluation.....	34
Definitions of Ratings.....	34
How a Performance Rubric Works.....	35
Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals.....	37
Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment.....	38
Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership.....	39
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety.....	41
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations.....	42
Performance Standard 6: Professionalism.....	43
Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress.....	44
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation.....	45
Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance	59

Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Part 1: Introduction

Why Good Evaluation is Necessary¹

More than 20 years ago, in a joint statement, the American Association of School Administrators (AASA) and the National School Boards Association (NSBA) agreed that “informal evaluations cannot provide the board with a complete picture of the superintendent’s effectiveness in carrying out her (his) complex job. Regular, formal evaluations offer boards the best means of assessing their chief school administrator’s total performance.”² Despite their agreement, the attention devoted to developing and implementing systematic performance-based evaluation systems for superintendents has been minimal in the intervening two decades.³ Superintendent evaluation matters because division superintendency matters. Leithwood and Riehl summarized several research-based conclusions about successful leadership; one reads “leadership has significant effects on student learning, second only to the effects of quality of curriculum and teachers’ instruction.”⁴ Both empirical findings and case study observations of leaders in high-performing schools indicate that leaders influence student learning directly by coalescing and supporting teacher efforts to achieve high expectations for student learning.⁵

Case studies of exceptional schools, especially those that succeed beyond expectations, provide detailed portraits of leadership. These studies indicate that school leaders influence learning primarily by galvanizing efforts around ambitious goals, and by establishing conditions that support teachers and that help students succeed.⁶ Large-scale quantitative studies conclude that the effects of leadership on student achievement are small, accounting for only about three to five percent of the variation. However, they also indicate that leadership effects appear to be mostly indirect. That is, leaders influence student learning through promoting vision and goals, and through ensuring that resources and processes are in place to enable teachers to teach well.⁷ Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our superintendents are of high quality. The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the complexities of the job. Superintendents have a challenging task in meeting the educational needs of an educationally diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide the superintendents with the support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve their efforts.⁸

Because the superintendency is so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of superintendent performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance superintendent effectiveness. A meaningful evaluation focuses on professional standards, and through this focus and timely feedback, enables teachers and leaders to recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. The benefits of a rigorous evaluation system are numerous and well-documented. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is designed and implemented appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:⁹

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of superintendents for annual reviews and compensation;

- as a targeting tool to help superintendents focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to superintendents, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable superintendents to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development in order to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and,
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger divisionwide improvement.

Limitations of Current Evaluation Systems

Although superintendent effectiveness¹⁰ is recognized as an important factor in improving student academic outcomes, school divisions rarely measure, document, or use superintendent effectiveness ratings to inform decision-making.¹¹ A comprehensive review of superintendent evaluation practices indicates that there is concern about a lack of objectivity in the methods used to evaluate superintendents. Traditionally superintendents are evaluated using written comments or an essay format. There is a need for technically sound, widely available evaluation instruments that may be adapted to the particular circumstances of the school division.¹² In addition, the overwhelming majority of superintendents are evaluated by the members of the board; however, evidence suggests that school board members may not be adequately prepared for evaluating superintendents.¹³ Oftentimes, input from other stakeholder groups, such as peers, subordinates, constituents, teachers, and students is not solicited. Furthermore, few superintendents perceive their performance evaluation as contributing to the overall effectiveness of the superintendency and the school system.¹⁴

Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness

In the past school division superintendents may have been viewed as managers of complex bureaucracies rather than instructional leaders; however, the move toward instructional accountability of superintendents is not without merit or empirical evidence.¹⁵ The position of the superintendent within a school division hierarchy suggests their ability to influence the focus and direction of the division organization. Successful innovations and school improvements often have central office support.¹⁶ Hord asserted that the superintendents are in the most expedient position to support instructional improvement within the division.¹⁷ Research indicates that superintendents use their bureaucratic positions in the formal organization to improve instruction through staff selection, principal supervision, instructional goal-setting and monitoring, financial planning, and consultative management practices.¹⁸ Research findings indicate that superintendents of effective school divisions exhibit high levels of involvement in instructional matters and use managerial levers at their disposal to influence the behavior of principals and teachers who are more directly involved in improving classroom teaching and student learning.¹⁹ It is important to recognize that effective superintendency influences student learning, either directly or indirectly. It is also important to understand the ways and means by which superintendents influence their school divisions' educational programs. Therefore, a

rigorous superintendent evaluation system should be in place to discriminate the performance of superintendents and provide informative feedback for improvement.

Purposes of Evaluation

The primary purposes of a quality superintendent evaluation system are to:²⁰

- Improve educational performance, both for the superintendent and, ultimately, the entire school division;
- Improve superintendent/board relations and communication;
- Clarify the roles of the superintendent;
- Inform the superintendent of the board's expectations;
- Improve planning;
- Aid in the professional development of the superintendent;
- Serve as a basis for personnel decisions;
- Serve as an accountability mechanism; and
- Fulfill legal requirements.

Candoli et al., and Hoyle et al., suggested that a quality superintendent evaluation system should:

- Meet requirements of personnel evaluation standards, that is, propriety standards, feasibility standards, utility standards, and accuracy standards.
- Build on the strengths of existing superintendent performance evaluation models and avoid their weaknesses.
- Embody and focus on superintendent's generic duties.
- Integrate established evaluation concepts, including the basic purpose of evaluation (assess merit or worth), the generic process of evaluation (delineating, obtaining, reporting, and applying information), the main classes of information to be collected (context, input, process, and product), and the main roles of evaluation (formative input for improvement and summative assessment for accountability).
- Provide for adaptation to the wide variety of school division settings.²¹

Purposes of this Document

This document was developed specifically for use with school division superintendents. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that superintendent evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-60.1 (Evaluation of the Superintendent) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

Each local school board shall evaluate the division superintendent annually consistent with the performance objectives set forth in *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* as required by 22.1-253.13:5.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 (Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents*. Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum. Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities....

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* set forth seven performance standards for all Virginia superintendents. Pursuant to state law, superintendent evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local superintendent evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress; how this requirement is met is the responsibility of local school boards. The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* recommend that each superintendent receive a summative evaluation rating, and that the rating be determined by weighting the first six standards equally at 10 percent each, and that the seventh standard, Student Academic Progress, account for 40 percent of the summative evaluation.

Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents

The uniform performance standards for superintendents are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual superintendent initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each superintendent by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

Defining Superintendent Performance Standards

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the superintendent performance standards. A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and school boards reasonably understand the job expectations. It should be noted that the superintendent works with the school board, division staff, and other stakeholders to accomplish the performance standards.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach of performance standards and performance indicators.

Performance Standards

Performance standards define the criteria expected when superintendents perform their major duties. For all superintendents, there are seven performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Performance Standards

<p>1. Mission, Vision, and Goals <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.</i></p>
<p>2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.</i></p>
<p>3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p>
<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Safety <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.</i></p>
<p>6. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>

Performance Indicators

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which superintendents are meeting each standard. This helps superintendents and school boards clarify performance levels and job expectations. That is, the performance indicators provide the answer to what must be performed. Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being fulfilled. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive, and they are not intended to be prescriptive. It should be noted that indicators in one standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Superintendents and school boards should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a superintendent’s performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow.

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Works with the school board to develop and recommend policies that define organizational expectations, and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders.
- 1.2 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, and professionalism with the school board and staff.
- 1.3 Keeps the school board informed on needs and issues confronting school division employees and students.
- 1.4 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise.
- 1.9 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.5 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in student learning.
- 2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal and state standards.
- 2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.
- 3.2 Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements.
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management, student discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development for all categories of personnel consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback.
- 4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.9 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 6.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission and goals of the school division.
- 6.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to actions of others.
- 6.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 6.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 6.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 6.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques, and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 6.8 Networks with colleagues to share knowledge about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 6.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 7.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 7.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 7.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 7.5 Utilizes internal division and external constituent meetings and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 7.6 Provides evidence that students in all subgroups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 7.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 7: If a superintendent effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 7: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia superintendent evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 7 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis should be used where applicable.

Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance

In order to develop a complete picture of the superintendent's performance, board members should use multiple sources of information in assessing performance quality. These data sources might include formal and informal observations, client surveys, artifacts of performance, goal setting, and other relevant sources of performance information. As representatives of the community, board members often receive unsolicited opinions about the performance of the superintendent, most often from individuals who are not pleased or disagree with an action or decision of the superintendent. Although it is tempting to use selected data sources in assessing the superintendent's performance, *some sources may be more problematic than others; thus, these problematic, unsolicited, non-representative data should be very carefully and cautiously considered before applying the data to superintendent evaluation, if they are to be used at all.* For data sources to be acceptable, they must meet the tests of logic, validity, reliability, fairness, and legality.^a Answering questions like the ones that follow will assist board members in determining whether various data sources meet these tests:

- Are the data caused by or the responsibility of the superintendent?
- Do the data reflect responsibilities included in the superintendent's job description?
- Are the data linked to student learning, welfare, or other needs?
- Are the data of primary importance in considering the quality of the superintendent's performance?
- Are better data available on the same issue?

Board members should work with the superintendent to reach consensus on the evidence-based data sources to be used.

The suggested sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on superintendent performance. Data sources may include, but are not limited to, the sources in Figure 3.1.

^a These recommendations are adapted from: Peterson, K.D. (1995). *Teacher evaluation: A comprehensive guide to new directions and practices*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Figure 3.1: *Suggested Documentation Sources for Superintendent Evaluation*

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation	Self-evaluation reveals superintendents’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of a self-evaluation should inform superintendents’ personal goals for professional development.
Documentation Evidence	Items generated by superintendents provide evidence of meeting the seven performance standards.
Client Survey	Client surveys provide information to superintendents about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the superintendent who prepares a survey summary as part of the documentation evidence.
Goal Setting	Superintendents, in conjunction with the school board, set goals for professional growth and school improvement. These goals should reflect expected or required performance benchmarks drawn from local and state guidelines and policies.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a superintendent evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs.

Alignment of Performance Standards with Data Sources

Whether a superintendent is meeting the performance standards may be evidenced through multiple data sources. Figure 3.2 shows the alignment of performance standard by data source.

Figure 3.2: *Aligning Multiple Data Sources with Performance Standards*

Performance Standard	Self-Evaluation	Documentation Evidence	Client Survey*	Goal Setting
1. Mission, Visions, and Goals	/	X	/	X
2. Planning and Assessment	/	X	/	X
3. Instructional Leadership	/	X	/	X
4. Organizational Leadership and Safety	/	X	/	X
5. Communication and Community Relations	/	X	/	X
6. Professionalism	/	X	/	X
7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress		X		X

* Survey summaries are part of the documentation evidence.

X = Primary Data Source / = Secondary Data Source

Note: With only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.

Self-Evaluation

The superintendent's annual self-evaluation of progress toward meeting performance goals encourages reflection on his/her experiences. It also provides a structure to consider future goals and determine strategies for achieving goals. The self-evaluation process is also useful in promoting the superintendent's professional development. Data from self-evaluations may not be objective enough to use in evaluating the superintendent for summative purposes. However, self-evaluations at the middle and end of each year can reveal discrepancies in perceptions of performance between the superintendent and the board and may be very useful in generating dialogue to discuss discrepancies revealed. The superintendent may consider self-rating at the end of the year and sharing this with the school board. A sample *Superintendent Self-Evaluation Form* is provided on the following pages.

SAMPLE Superintendent Self-Evaluation Form

Directions: Superintendents should use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Superintendent: _____ **Date:** _____

School Division: _____ **School Year:** _____

1. Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

2. Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

3. Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

4. Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

5. Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

6. Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

Documentation Evidence

Evidence of a superintendent's performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that superintendents actually do. Documentation provides school boards with information related to specific standards and provides superintendents with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with the board. Documentation can confirm a superintendent's effort to demonstrate exemplary performance, can show continuing work at a proficient level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously-identified deficiency. Documentation evidence is maintained by the superintendent and reviewed periodically by the school board.

A sample optional *Documentation Cover Sheet* is provided on the next page.

SAMPLE Documentation Cover Sheet (optional)

Directions: The superintendent should list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation may also need to be supplemented with conversation, discussion, and/or annotations to clarify the superintendent’s practice and process for the evaluator.

Superintendent: _____

School Division: _____ **School Year:** _____

Standard	Documentation Included
<p>1. Mission, Vision, and Goals <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.</i></p>	
<p>2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.</i></p>	
<p>3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p>	

Standard	Documentation Included
<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Safety <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>	
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.</i></p>	
<p>6. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>	
<p>7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>	

Client Survey

Some would suggest that all members of the community should have an opportunity to provide feedback data for the board to consider in evaluating the superintendent. One consideration in collecting data using a community survey is cost. However, the real challenge is to collect such data so that it meets the tests of logic, reliability, and fairness. Some members of the community will be able to provide information based on personal experience(s) with the schools. For example, those who have children in the schools, who are involved in community organizations that use school facilities, who work in public service agencies, or who are public officials who interface with the school division in various ways may be able to provide such feedback. Community surveys of such individuals have the potential to provide data that meet the tests if they are well conceived, properly administered, and interpreted. Surveys that produce results within reasonable margins of error often are very expensive. Unless they are executed properly, the validity of the results may be questionable. Therefore, surveys should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Any such results also should constitute only one component in the superintendent's evaluation system. An optional *Client Survey* is shown on the next page. A divisionwide survey could be used in lieu of a client survey. A *Survey Summary Form* that could be included as part of a superintendent's documentation evidence is included on the subsequent page.

Note: Thoughtful consideration should be given to how client surveys are to be used if, indeed, they are used as a relevant data source for superintendent evaluation. For example, surveys should never be administered in a selective, non-random manner; otherwise, the results will be skewed in an unreasonable and non-representative manner. Additionally, the rules for applying client surveys should be determined in advance of the start of the evaluation cycle. Two basic methods to consider for applying surveys are: 1) as an accountability-focused data source in which the surveys are carefully and fairly administered, scored, and analyzed; or 2) as a formative tool for the professional growth of the superintendent in which the surveys are administered properly, but scored and analyzed by the superintendent, with only a summary report shared with the school board or others.

SAMPLE Client Survey (optional)

The purpose of this survey is to allow you to give the superintendent ideas about the quality of his or her performance. The information will be used for improvement purposes.

Directions: DO NOT PUT YOUR NAME ON THIS SURVEY. Listed below are several statements about the superintendent. Check your response to each statement in the appropriate column. If you wish to comment, please write your comments in the space after the items.

____ Superintendent's Name _____ School Division _____ School Year

Respondent: ___ Parent ___ Community Member ___ Public Official ___ Other (explain)

The superintendent...	Cannot Judge	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. Provides effective leadership	0	1	2	3	4
2. Involves parents and the community in the identification and accomplishment of school division goals	0	1	2	3	4
3. Maintains visibility	0	1	2	3	4
4. Demonstrates effective communication skills	0	1	2	3	4
5. Develops and communicates a vision for the school division	0	1	2	3	4
6. Participates in community activities	0	1	2	3	4
7. Encourages the use of community resources and volunteer services	0	1	2	3	4
8. Is approachable and accessible	0	1	2	3	4
9. Is a positive ambassador for the school division	0	1	2	3	4
10. Handles crises in a calm and effective manner	0	1	2	3	4
11. Uses sound financial management practices	0	1	2	3	4
12. Provides for two-way communication	0	1	2	3	4
13. Is sensitive to the needs of all constituencies in our community	0	1	2	3	4
14. Demonstrates a professional demeanor	0	1	2	3	4
15. Promotes continuous student achievement and school improvement	0	1	2	3	4

COMMENTS:

SAMPLE Survey Summary Form

Superintendent's Name: _____

Date: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

Directions: Superintendents should tabulate and analyze the client surveys and provide a summary of the results. This may be included as part of the superintendent's documentation.

1. How many surveys did you distribute?
2. How many completed surveys were returned?
3. What is the percentage of completed questionnaires you received? _____%

Client Satisfaction Analysis

4. Describe your survey population(s).
5. List factors that might have influenced the results.
6. Analyze survey responses and answer the following questions:
 - A) What did clients perceive as your major strengths?

 - B) What did clients perceive as your major weaknesses?

 - C) How can you use this information for continuous professional growth?

Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Measures of student learning are vitally important in judging the effectiveness of superintendents, but they should never serve as the sole source for evaluating performance. Gains in student learning should be used as only one component in the superintendent evaluation system. The use of student academic measures requires pre- and post-assessments using reliable and valid instruments to determine progress. While there is a place and purpose for fixed standards, such as learning to read at an acceptable level, fixed standards, such as SOL tests, must be regarded carefully when applied to the superintendent's evaluation. Repeated measures of student learning over time enhance reliability from a statistical point of view and credibility from a decision-making perspective.

Why Connect Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress?

The research on student academic progress focuses on both testing and assessment. Research indicates that there is a statistically significant difference in student achievement based on the quality of division-level leadership.²² The increasing demand for accountability makes it no longer plausible that a superintendent goes before the school board or media, and simply claims that the division is doing a great job in educating students. Superintendents must have the skills to explain how well the students compare to others in the state and nation. Additionally, they must be able to articulate how much students have *increased* in valid and appropriate learning measures. The superintendent must be a linchpin in monitoring and evaluating student achievement and student progress on the basis of objectives and expected student outcomes. Therefore, one of the greatest pressures on school superintendents is to obtain higher performance on high-stakes tests from the schools in their division.²³

Superintendents must be skilled in responding to accountability demands, from state legislators state department of education, and the local school board, with strategies to meet benchmarks, and help promote a more comprehensive and inclusive learning environment in the school division. There is a delicate balance between following the vision of higher student performance, and the professional and personal concerns of students, staff, and community.²⁴ Supportive superintendents can influence classrooms through the establishment of mechanisms that can make improved teaching and learning a reality. As an instructional leader, the superintendent should: incorporate research findings on learning and instruction, instructional time, and resources to maximize student outcomes; apply best practices in the integration of curriculum and resources; and employ assessment strategies to help all students achieve high levels of success.²⁵

Implementation Concerns

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. When deciding how to include student academic progress in superintendent evaluation, local school boards need to be aware of several implementation concerns:

- The increased focus on using student learning measures in superintendent evaluation may be new for some superintendents and their evaluators. Thus, there may be initial concerns to this change in evaluation practices.
- Many of the measures of student academic progress are directly tied to classroom and school-level initiatives, which may cause concern. Thus, school boards will need to carefully consider how to use student growth percentiles and other quantitative measures of academic progress in a way that is appropriate for assessing the overall performance of the school division as part of the superintendent's evaluation.

Virginia Law

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress; how this requirement is met is the responsibility of local school boards. The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* recommend that each superintendent receive a summative evaluation rating, and that the rating be determined by weighting the first six standards equally at 10 percent each, and that the seventh standard, Student Academic Progress, account for 40 percent of the summative evaluation.

Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. These guidelines recommend that student academic progress account for 40 percent of a superintendent's summative evaluation. Student growth percentiles are recommended to be incorporated, when appropriate, into goal setting, which is discussed in the next section.

Goal Setting

One approach to linking student academic progress to superintendent performance involves building the capacity for superintendents to interpret and use student achievement data to set target goals for divisionwide student improvement. Setting goals -- not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance -- is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. Whenever possible, it is recommended that the goals be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available, such as state performance benchmarks.

The school board, in conjunction with the superintendent, can set annual division goals for the superintendent that are congruent with the division's needs and concerns and are balanced across grades and school levels, as appropriate. The goals then can be reviewed and adjusted as necessary. It is important for the school board and superintendent to think through the shorter-term goals that are needed to address longer-term outcomes and for the school board to recognize and account for the time it takes for initiatives to be realized. Goal setting should occur at the beginning of the superintendent's contract year and the superintendent should report on progress in achieving the goals at regular intervals throughout the evaluation process. This provides a valuable forum for board/superintendent dialogue. Indicators of goal attainment include documentation via the superintendent's oral and written reports as well as other division data that may reflect goal achievement. A sample *Superintendent's Annual Goals* form is shown later in this section.

Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

To be able to measure goal attainment, superintendents must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school division student population's learning needs and priorities. School boards and superintendents should develop mutually agreed-upon measures to include in the evaluation to best reflect the priorities of the division. Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures. Additionally, it is important that multiple, relevant measures be used.

There are several important considerations when identifying multiple measures. The measures may focus on:

- All student performance and subgroup performance;
- Specific areas of need;
- Alignment with the strategic plan; and
- Topics/indicators across grade levels.

Figure 4.1 shows suggested focus areas for goal setting that provide measures of divisionwide student academic progress that focus on school division improvement. (*Note:* This is not intended as an exhaustive list. Each school board should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school division.)

Figure 4.1: *Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress*

Category	Measure	Early elementary school*	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
All students' academic progress	• Progress on SOL assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvement on advanced pass rates on SOL assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase percentage of middle school students taking high school-level courses			✓	
	• Improvements in high school graduation rates				✓
Subgroups and other student groupings	• English Language Learners progress on English language proficiency assessment	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase percentage of students with disabilities earning Standard and Advanced Studies diplomas				✓
	• Increase achievement of economically disadvantaged	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Subgroups making increased academic progress	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Decrease in achievement gap in subgroups	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in achievement of Individualized Education Plan goals	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvements in underperforming subgroups earning high school diploma				✓
					✓
College and Career Readiness	• Participation and success in AP and dual enrollment courses				✓
	• Enrollment and achievement in postsecondary education				✓
	• Increase percentage of students earning career and technical industry certification, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials				✓
Reading/Literacy Readiness	• On track indicators such as Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening or similar measures available locally	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• SOL test outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Benchmark outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mathematics Readiness	• Progress on Algebra readiness assessments such as the Algebra Readiness Diagnostic Test			✓	✓
	• Enrollment and success in Algebra I by eighth grade			✓	
	• SOL test outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Benchmark outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓

Category	Measure	Early elementary school*	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
STEM Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase percentage of underrepresented students taking advanced STEM courses 				✓
Student Progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes • Increased percentage of schools in division where majority of students earn high or moderate growth percentiles** • Of students who had low growth the previous year, increase the percentage earning high or moderate student growth percentiles** 	✓	✓	✓	✓
Student Nonacademic Core Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase percentage of students involved in extracurricular activities • Increase percentage of students receiving prestigious awards 		✓	✓	✓
		✓	✓	✓	✓

*May include preK

**Use of student growth percentiles or other relative measures of student academic progress in aggregate across the division (e.g., a median growth percentile across the division) is not likely to result in a fair measure of student academic progress in larger divisions. Because student growth percentile is a relative growth measure, when there are large groups of students, the data generally will approximate the standard percentile distribution. Thus, a stellar superintendent in a large school division would not have the same opportunity to demonstrate strong academic progress on the student growth percentile measure that a superintendent in a much smaller school division would.

Sample: Superintendent's Annual Goals

Sample: Superintendent's Annual Goals

Directions: This form is a tool to assist superintendents in setting goals that result in measurable divisionwide student academic progress. Goals may relate to other standards, but all goals should address Standard 7 as well. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Superintendent: _____ **Date:** _____

School Division: _____ **School Year:** _____

Preliminary approval granted by school board on: _____

Mid-year review conducted by school board on: _____

Year-end review conducted by school board on: _____

Goal:	
Check the standard(s) to which the goal relates	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Mission, Vision, and Goals <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Planning and Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Instructional Leadership	
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Leadership and Safety <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	
Expected term to completion: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input type="checkbox"/> Mid-term <input type="checkbox"/> Long-term	
Indicators of Success	Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board
	Evidence to Date

Evaluator's Signature

Date

Evaluator's Name

Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, school boards should conduct both formative assessments and summative evaluations of superintendents.

Formative Assessment

Formative assessment can provide valuable information to superintendents. At any point during the year, the school board has the option to share its assessment of the superintendent's performance by discussing evidence related to the seven standards. An optional *Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report* is provided on the following pages. It should be noted that this report does not include an actual rating in any of the performance standards.

SAMPLE Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report (optional)

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Superintendent: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator: _____

<p>Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.</i> Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.</i> Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i> Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i> Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.</i> Comments:</p>

<p>Performance Standard 6: Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i> <i>See Superintendent's Annual Goal for details.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Summative Evaluation

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all superintendents.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed. The performance standards and performance indicators provide a description of well-defined expectations.

Definitions of Ratings

The rating scale provides a description of four levels of how well the standards (i.e., duties) are performed on a continuum from “Exemplary” to “Unacceptable.” The use of the scale enables school boards to acknowledge effective performance (i.e., “Exemplary” and “Proficient”) and provides two levels of feedback for superintendents not meeting expectations (i.e., “Developing/Needs Improvement” and “Unacceptable”). The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the seven performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: *Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale*

Category	Description	Definition
Exemplary	The superintendent performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that consistently and considerably surpass the established performance standard, and does so in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals. This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is demonstrated with significant student academic progress.	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over the evaluation cycle • empowers principals, teachers and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student academic progress and the school division climate • serves as a role model to others
Proficient	The superintendent meets the performance standard in a manner that is consistent with the division’s mission and goals and has a positive impact on student academic progress.	Effective performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistently meets the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student academic progress and the school climate • demonstrates willingness to learn and apply new skills

Category	Description	Definition
Developing/ Needs Improvement	The superintendent is starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard, but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the superintendent's performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement). The superintendent often performs less than required in the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the division's mission and goals and results in below average student academic progress.	Below acceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> requires support in meeting the standards results in less than expected quality of student academic progress requires superintendent professional growth be jointly identified and planned between the superintendent and school board
Unacceptable	The superintendent consistently performs below the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school division's mission and goals and results in minimal student academic progress.	Ineffective performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not meet the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria results in minimal student academic progress may contribute to a recommendation for the superintendent not being considered for continued employment

How a Performance Rubric Works

Evaluators have two tools to guide their judgments for rating superintendents' performance for the summative evaluation: 1) the sample performance indicators, and 2) the performance rubric.

Sample Performance Indicators

Performance indicators are used in the evaluation system to identify, in observable behaviors, performance of the major job standards. They were introduced in Part 2, and examples are provided again in this section.

Performance Rubric

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that describes acceptable performance levels for each of the seven performance standards. It states the measure of performance expected of superintendents and provides a general description of what a rating entails. The rating scale is applied for the summative evaluation of superintendents. The performance rubrics guide evaluators in assessing *how well* a standard is performed. They are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help superintendents to focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. ***Please note: The rating of "Proficient" is the expected level of performance. A superintendent who is new to the division or position may be considered "developing" in a standard. Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented here may be modified at the discretion of the school board.***

Figure 5.2: *Example of a Performance Rubric*

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks out research on the effective use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.	The superintendent does not gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.

Performance Rubrics for Performance Standards

Superintendents are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Works with the school board to develop and recommend policies that define organizational expectations, and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders.
- 1.2 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, and professionalism with the school board and staff.
- 1.3 Keeps the school board informed on needs and issues confronting school division employees and students.
- 1.4 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise.
- 1.9 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent establishes a highly productive relationship with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in working with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent does not work with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.5 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in student learning.
- 2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal and state standards.
- 2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks out research on the effective use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.	The superintendent does not gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.
- 3.2 Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements.
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
<p>The superintendent actively and consistently employs innovative and effective leadership strategies that empower teachers, maximize student academic progress, and result in effective teaching and learning that reflects excellence.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</p>	<p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in fostering the success of all teachers, staff, and student students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</p>	<p>The superintendent does not foster the success of all teachers, staff, and students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</p>

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management, student discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development for all categories of personnel consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback.
- 4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.9 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent is highly effective at organizational management, demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating safe, efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.	The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in supporting, managing, or evaluating the division's organization, operation, safety, or use of resources.	The superintendent inadequately supports, manages, or evaluates the division's organization, operation, safety or use of resources.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate and engage effectively with stakeholders.	The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in communicating on issues of importance to-stakeholders.	The superintendent demonstrates ineffective or detrimental communication with stakeholders.

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 6.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission and goals of the school division.
- 6.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to actions of others.
- 6.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 6.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 6.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 6.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques, and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 6.8 Networks with colleagues to share knowledge about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 6.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent demonstrates professionalism through published works, formal presentation(s), and/or formal recognition(s) or award(s).	The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in demonstrating professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, or in contributing to the profession.	The superintendent shows disregard for professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, or contributing to the profession.

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 7.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 7.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 7.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 7.5 Utilizes internal division and external constituent meetings and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 7.6 Provides evidence that students in all subgroups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 7.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent's leadership results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.	The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.	The superintendent's leadership has not reached a level of proficiency in promoting student academic progress that meets the established standard.	The superintendent's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.

Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation

School boards make judgments about performance of the seven performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the school board applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a superintendent’s performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the “preponderance of evidence” exists, based on various data sources. Two sample *Superintendent Summative Performance Reports* are provided on the following pages. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the superintendent at a summative evaluation conference

Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy. Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the seven performance standards, with the most significant weight given to Standard 7 - Student Academic Progress. This document suggests that school divisions weight each of the first six standards equally at 10 percent, and that Standard 7 account for 40 percent of the evaluation. In determining the final summative rating, the following approach could be used:

1. Apply numbers 1 (Unacceptable) through 4 (Exemplary) to the rating scale
 Exemplary = 4
 Proficient = 3
 Developing/Needs Improvement = 2
 Unacceptable = 1;
2. Calculate the weighted contribution of each standard to the summative evaluation; and
3. Add the weighted contribution to achieve the final summative evaluation.

The following tables provide two examples of how this approach would apply.

Figure 5.3: *Example 1 of Weighted Calculations for Superintendent Performance Evaluation*

Superintendent Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	Exemplary	4	1	4
Standard 2	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 3	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 4	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 5	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 6	Exemplary	4	1	4
Standard 7	Exemplary	4	4	16
Cumulative Summative Rating				36

Based on Virginia Board of Education guidelines, this cumulative score of 36 would translate into an overall rating of “Exemplary.”

Figure 5.4: *Example 2 of Weighted Calculations for Superintendent Performance Evaluation*

Superintendent Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 2	Developing/Needs Improvement	2	1	2
Standard 3	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 4	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 5	Proficient	3	1	3
Standard 6	Developing/Needs Improvement	2	1	2
Standard 7	Proficient	3	4	12
Cumulative Summative Rating				28

Based on Virginia Board of Education guidelines, this cumulative score of 28 would translate into an overall rating of “Proficient.”

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report Option A

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to provide the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent: _____ **School Year(s):** _____

School: _____

<p>Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.</i></p>
<p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i></p> <p>The superintendent:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1 Works with the school board to develop and recommend policies that define organizational expectations, and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders. 1.2 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, and professionalism with the school board and staff. 1.3 Keeps the school board informed on needs and issues confronting school division employees and students. 1.4 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies. 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board. 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division’s day-to-day operations. 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive. 1.8 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise. 1.9 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness. <p>Comments:</p> <p>RATING: <input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary <input type="checkbox"/> Proficient <input type="checkbox"/> Developing/Needs Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Unacceptable</p>

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.5 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in student learning.
- 2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal and state standards.
- 2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.
- 3.2 Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements.
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management, student discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development for all categories of personnel consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback.
- 4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.9 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 6.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission and goals of the school division.
- 6.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to actions of others.
- 6.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 6.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 6.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 6.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques, and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 6.8 Networks with colleagues to share knowledge about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 6.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 7.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 7.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 7.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 7.5 Utilizes internal division and external constituent meetings and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 7.6 Provides evidence that students in all subgroups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 7.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

Exemplary

Proficient

Developing/Needs Improvement

Unacceptable

Recommended for *Targeted Professional Growth*. (One or more standards are *Unacceptable*, or two or more standards are *Developing/Needs Improvement*.)

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report Option B

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to provide the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent: _____ **School Year(s):** _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent establishes a highly productive relationship with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in working with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.	The superintendent does not work with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks out research on the effective use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.	The superintendent does not gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent actively and consistently employs innovative and effective leadership strategies that empower teachers, maximize student academic progress, and result in effective teaching and learning that reflects excellence.	The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in fostering the success of all teachers, staff, and student students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.	The superintendent does not foster the success of all teachers, staff, and students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent is highly effective at organizational management, demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating safe, efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.	The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in supporting, managing, or evaluating the division’s organization, operation, safety, or use of resources.	The superintendent inadequately supports, manages, or evaluates the division’s organization, operation, safety or use of resources.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate and engage effectively with stakeholders.	The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in communicating on issues of importance to stakeholders.	The superintendent demonstrates ineffective or detrimental communication with stakeholders.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent demonstrates professionalism through published works, formal presentation(s), and/or formal recognition(s) or award(s).	The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in demonstrating professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, or in contributing to the profession.	The superintendent shows disregard for professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, or contributing to the profession.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent's leadership results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.	The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.	The superintendent's leadership has not reached a level of proficiency in promoting student academic progress that meets the established standard.	The superintendent's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

Exemplary

Proficient

Developing/Needs Improvement

Unacceptable

Recommended for *Targeted Professional Growth*. (One or more standards are *Unacceptable*, or two or more standards are *Developing/Needs Improvement*.)

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance

Supporting superintendents is essential to the success of school divisions. Many resources are needed to assist superintendents in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help superintendents develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school divisions.

Targeted Professional Growth, a division-level discussion between the school board and the superintendent, is an optional process to promote conversation about performance in order to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth.

Figure 6.1 highlights the process.

Figure 6.1: *Tool to Increase Professional Performance*

	Targeted Professional Growth
Purpose	For superintendents who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth.
Initiates Process	School board or superintendent
Documentation	Form Provided: None Memo or other record of the discussion/other forms of documentation at the school board level
Outcomes	Performance improvement is documented with the support dialogue continued at the discretion of the school board or the superintendent

The *Targeted Professional Growth* process is initiated by the school board or superintendent at any point during the school year when the superintendent's professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns. The *Targeted Professional Growth* process should not be construed as applying to poor performing superintendents. The option for *Targeted Professional Growth* is open to any superintendent who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the superintendent's growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Targeted Professional Growth* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the school board and superintendent meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?

What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?

What support can the school board provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

Last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?

What has not gone as well?

The entire *Targeted Professional Growth* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within a six-week period) as it offers targeted support. If the *Targeted Professional Growth* process was initiated by a superintendent seeking self-improvement, the school board and superintendent may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For a superintendent for whom the school board initiated the *Targeted Professional Growth* process, the desired outcome would be that the superintendent's practice has improved to a proficient level. In the event that improvements in performance are still needed, the school board makes a determination either to extend the time of the *Targeted Professional Growth* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources.

SAMPLE: Targeted Professional Growth *(optional)*

Directions: School boards and superintendents may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

References

- American Association of School Administrators. (1980). *Evaluating the superintendent*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Björk, L. G. (1993). Effective schools--effective superintendents: The emerging instructional leadership role. *Journal of School Leadership*, 3, 246-259.
- Brendeson, P. V. (1996). Superintendents' role in curriculum development and instructional leadership: Instructional visionaries, collaborators, supporters, and delegators. *Journal of School Leadership*, 6(3), 243-264.
- Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997). *Superintendent performance evaluation: Current practice and directions for improvement*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Dillon, R. R., & Halliwell, J. W. (1991). Superintendents' and school board presidents' perceptions of the purpose, strengths and weaknesses of formal superintendent evaluations. *Journal of School Leadership*, 1, 328-337.
- DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003). *Superintendent evaluation handbook*. Lanham, MA: Scarecrow.
- Hord, S. M. (1993). Smoke, mirrors or reality: Another instructional leader. In D. S. G. Carter, T. E. Glass, and S. M. Hord (Eds.), *Selecting, preparing, and developing the school district superintendents* (pp. 1-19). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.
- Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). *The superintendent as CEO: Standards-based performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001). Superintendent performance evaluation and its relationship to district student performance. In T. J. Kowalski (Ed.), *21st Century challenges for school administrators* (pp. 272-285). Lanham, MA: Scarecrow Press.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415-434.
- Leithwood, K. A., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What Do We Already Know About Successful School Leadership?* AERA Division A Task Force on Developing Research in Educational Leadership, 2-37.
- Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002). The superintendent's role in leading academically effective school districts. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils of the modern superintendency* (pp. 175-196). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005). The superintendent as instructional leader: Current practice, future conceptualizations, and implications for preparation. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 107-136). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008). *Qualities of effective principals*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, age, political affiliation, veteran status, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities in its programs and activities and provides equal access to the Boy Scouts and other designated youth groups.

Endnotes

1 Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010] by J. H. Stronge. Adapted with permission.

2 American Association of School Administrators. (1980). p. 4

3 DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003).

4 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). p. 2

5 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).

6 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).

7 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).

8 Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008).

9 Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).

10 The usage of the terms “effective” and “ineffective” is consistent with that used in professional literature. These terms are not intended to connote particular technical definitions.

11 DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003).

12 Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).

13 Dillon, R. R., & Halliwell, J. W. (1991).

14 Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).

15 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).

16 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).

17 Hord, S. M. (1993).

18 Björk, L. G. (1993); Brendeson, P. V. (1996); Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002).

19 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).

20 Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).

21 Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997); Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001).

22 Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. (2006).

23 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).

24 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).

25 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).

Virginia Department of Education

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION SYSTEM

Research Synthesis of Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Competencies and Standards

James H. Stronge, Ph.D.
College of William and Mary
Williamsburg, Virginia
jhstro@wm.edu

with
Xianxuan Xu, Ph.D.
College of William and Mary

Presented to the Board of Education
on July 26, 2012, and September 27, 2012

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	2
Synthesis on Extant Research Related to Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Standards....	2
AN OVERVIEW OF THE EXTANT RESEARCH RELATED TO EACH SUPERINTENDENT	
PERFORMANCE STANDARD	4
Policy and Governance.....	4
Policy	4
Relationship with school board	5
Planning and Assessment	7
Data-driven decision-making	8
Strategic planning	8
Instructional programs.....	10
Resource allocation	11
Instructional Leadership	11
Vision.....	12
Program management.....	13
Staff development.....	14
Organizational Leadership.....	16
Environment	16
Personnel management.....	17
Problem-solving	18
Resource management.....	20
Organizational skills	20
Communications and Community Relations.....	21
Interpersonal relations	21
Communication skills.....	22
Support diverse populations	23
Professionalism.....	24
Professional demeanor and ethical behavior.....	24
Collaboration.....	25
Professional development	27
Service to others	29
Student Academic Progress	29
Student progress	30
END NOTES	32
REFERENCES	37

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Synthesis on Extant Research Related to Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Standards

In the current political climate, where accountability and standards-based reform represent the educational centerpiece, a renewed interest has emerged in superintendent evaluation as a factor in school improvement. The purpose of this research report is to synthesize what the research says about what constitutes superintendent effectiveness so as to clarify the role, expectations, and quality performance of superintendents. A fair and rigorous superintendent evaluation system should consist of realistic and research-informed performance standards in order to ensure the accuracy and usefulness of superintendent performance and evaluation feedback. Designing a solid superintendent evaluation system necessarily starts with the alignment between it and the research findings of effective superintendents.

In order to document superintendent effectiveness that is based on a comprehensive conception of the job expectations for superintendents, performance standards are used to collect and present data. The ultimate goal of such performance standards is to support the continuous growth and development of each superintendent by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback. Quality performance standards can provide sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and evaluators understand the full range of superintendent performance and identify areas for professional improvement. This report provides an empirical review of relevant research on superintendent effectiveness that will serve as a research base for Virginia to consider while developing performance standards to evaluate school superintendents.

Fulfilling the superintendency is a complex and multi-faceted job and, therefore, defining “effectiveness” for the position is equally complex. Researchers have developed different criteria for superintendents. For instance, a study conducted by Scianfani surveyed 1,800 superintendents about the attributes desired and perceived to be important.¹ Eight performance areas containing 52 themes emerged from the data: climate; division finances; development of an effective curriculum; creation of programs of continuous improvement; management of division operations; delivery of an effective means of instruction; building strong local, state, and national support for education; conducting and using research in problem solving and program planning.

Conversely, a study by Haughland examined the professional competencies and skills noted as important for superintendents as perceived by school board members and superintendents.² The study generated two lists of competencies and they were ranked in order from the most important to the least important:

School Board Members' List

Personnel management
School finance
Curriculum development
Accomplishing board's goals
Superintendent/board relations
Public relations
Policy formulation
School construction
Collective negotiations

Superintendents' List

Superintendent/board relations
Personnel management
Public relations
School finance
Accomplishing board's goals
Curriculum development
Policy formulation
School construction
Collective negotiation

In an effort to define the profession, American Association for School Administrators (AASA) established a commission that developed a set of eight professional standards for superintendents: leadership and division culture; policy and governance; communications and community relations; organizational management; curriculum planning and development; instructional management; human resource management; value and ethics of leadership.³ A thorough review of extant literature of superintendent effectiveness has reinforced that a superintendent's performance matters in the following seven domains:

- Policy and governance
- Planning and assessment
- Instructional leadership
- Organizational leadership
- Communications and community relations
- Professionalism
- Student academic progress

This report will provide the research evidence behind each of the seven performance standards.

SECTION 2

AN OVERVIEW OF THE EXTANT RESEARCH RELATED TO EACH SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE STANDARD

Policy and Governance

One of the major functions of superintendents is to gather and present data so that school board members can make intelligent policy decisions. Cooper et al. defined policy as “a political process where needs, goals, and intentions are translated into a set of objectives, laws, policies, and programs, which in turn affect resource allocations, actions, and outputs, which are the basis for evaluation, reforms, and new policies.”⁴ Federal, state, and local levels of government, as well as court decisions, exercise varying degrees of authority over public schools. However, as policies move from national to state, and from state to local levels of governance, descriptions increase in degree of specificity and discretion.⁵ Effective superintendents have the expertise to not only relate local policy to state and federal regulations and requirements, but also to understand the dynamics of community and school board relations and formulate policies for external and internal programs.

Policy

Richardson noted that solid policies have many positive influences on the school division. For instance, policy ensures that school divisions: (a) create and sustain a clear vision; (b) explicitly address fundamental values; (c) focus on outcomes; (d) force forward thinking; (e) separate large issues from small; (f) clarify lines of responsibility; and (g) provide leverage and efficiency.⁶ Policy establishes both expectations and constraints for members of a school division and serves the following purposes:⁷

- Setting division goals and objectives;
- Determining the recipients of division educational services;
- Determining the amount of investments in division operations;
- Allocating resources to and among division sub-units;
- Determining the means by which division personnel will deliver services.

Superintendents play a critical role in policy development by providing board members with recommendations and supporting information. Leithwood has argued for central policy initiatives that define expected outcomes while simultaneously allowing schools to develop the learning capacity to determine their own processes and implementation strategies.⁸ Shannon and Bylsma found that successful school divisions not only develop and implement policies that

promote equity and excellence, they also review and revise these policies and strategies to ensure coherence among programs and practices linked to division goals.⁹

Local school divisions are uniquely American institutions. While the full responsibility for public education is delegated to the states, a considerable amount of this responsibility rests in most states with local boards of education and with the school leaders that they appoint and govern.¹⁰ School divisions formulate policy, as well as interpret and implement federal and state policy, in ways that reinforce and support a vision for improving teaching and learning. High-performing school divisions establish coherence by linking policy and operations. Programs and practices are adopted or implemented in relation to their support of the vision.¹¹ During the last couple of decades, however, states have become increasingly prominent in policymaking and are now exercising more guidance on public education; nevertheless, local school boards that function as a bridge between the states and the individual schools not only interpret and mediate state policies and initiatives, but also have the authority to make division-based policies. Therefore, local policies and priorities have important impact on the selection and implementation of reforms, and on the improvement of overall academic performance.¹²

Relationship with school board

One of the key responsibilities of superintendents is establishing and maintaining an effective and positive relationship with their boards of education.¹³ The relationship between the superintendent and the board of education in a school division has far-reaching implications on the quality of the division's educational program.¹⁴ In divisions with high levels of student achievement, the local board of education is aligned with and supports the goals for achievement and instruction.¹⁵ Negative superintendent-board relations often reduce division effectiveness and thwart school reform by: (a) causing instability and low morale; (b) lowering program quality; (c) curtaining long-range planning; and (d) causing high rates of administrator turnover.¹⁶

Understanding the link between communities and schools in a democratic society, as well as understanding the political dynamics between school board members and division chief executive officers, is essential to effective leadership. Superintendents need to understand that politics is ultimately a process through which individuals and groups can reconcile their interest.¹⁷ Superintendents work with elected officials, special interest groups, and board of education members, and therefore need to have political acumen and skills to make decisions, to resolve differences, to allocate funds in accordance with educational values, and to generate voter support for school issues. As the United States becomes more ethnically and racially diverse, interest group activity and political conflict have escalated. These circumstances compel superintendents to understand the relationship between society and schools, as well as know how to respond to expectations that can be contradictory.¹⁸

Researchers in educational leadership have started to develop a better understanding of the dynamics of the community politics, especially the political configurations of boards of education and superintendent roles. McCarty and Ramsey defined four types of community power structures and described how they align with political configurations of board of education members and superintendent roles.

Influence of community and board power structures on superintendent roles¹⁹

Community Power Structure	School Board	Role of the Superintendent
Dominated: The community power structure is controlled by a few individuals at the apex of the hierarchy and is “top down” (“elite” power model). The decision-making group is likely to be the “economic elite” in the community but also may be derived from religious, ethnic, racial, or political power structures. Opposition to their position is rarely successful.	Dominated: School board members are chosen because their views are congruent with the views and ideology of the dominant group (power elite), and they take advice from community leaders and align with their positions on important issues. Any organized opposition in the community is not strong enough to displace the elite.	Functionary: The superintendent identifies with the dominant group, takes cues from them, and perceives her or his role as that of an administrator who carries out board policies, rather than developing policies. The board selects a superintendent who reflects a willingness to work within this context.
Factional: Several groups holding relatively equal power compete for control over important policy decisions and may coalesce around economic, religious, ethnic, racial, or political power philosophies.	Factional: School board members represent the viewpoints of factions and act in accordance with their view. Voting is more important than discussing issues. If the issue is important, the majority faction always wins. Board elections tend to be hotly contested.	Political strategist: The superintendent must work with the majority, and, when it changes, she or he must align with the emergent majority. The superintendent must be careful not to alienate other factions, as the majority may shift again in the future. She or he takes a middle course on controversial issues.
Pluralistic: Power is contested by interest groups and is dispersed, pluralistic, and diffused. High levels of concern for important issues and active involvement of interest groups in decisions are evident.	Status Congruent: School board members are active in discussing issues but not rigidly bound to one group or position. Members are viewed as equals, and decisions on issues are made in an objective fashion.	Professional Advisor: The superintendent acts as a statesperson, giving professional advice based on research and experience. She or he expresses professional opinions and may propose alternative courses of action in an open and objective fashion.
Inert: Power in communities is latent or endorses the status quo. Radical experimentation may not be acceptable. Power structure tends not to be actively involved in policy decisions.	Sanctioning: Board members hold views congruent with pervasive values and views of the community. They follow the lead of the superintendent on proposals and approve them without question.	Decision Maker: The superintendent initiates action and provides leadership to ensure division effectiveness. The school board “rubber-stamps” her or his proposals. The superintendent provides leadership but is constrained by latent community values that emphasize the status quo.

The findings of a nationwide survey study of the superintendency confirmed that political power structure of the community influences school board behavior and the superintendent role.²⁰ This study examined how superintendents perceived board of education power configurations and the way they work with board members. Over a nearly 30-year period (1971-2000), superintendents exhibited two dominant roles in working their boards of education: professional advisor (48 percent) and decision maker (49.5 percent). As professional advisors, they were inclined to work collaboratively with boards, but had the political acuity to adapt to changes in board political power configurations. Over a third (36.7 percent) said they shared policy-making responsibilities with boards; in larger divisions often marked by fractious political relations, the tendency to share policy-making responsibilities increased to 45.3 percent. Of the superintendents surveyed,

43 percent said they were responsible for taking the lead in division policy-making activities, and 89 percent said that boards accepted their recommendations 90-100 percent of the time.

Research findings regarding effective superintendency emphasize the importance of communication and good superintendent-board relationships. Researchers have found that superintendent-board relationships should be conceptualized as that of an executive leadership and governance team.²¹ A study by Carter, Glass, and Hord found that effective superintendents spend about six hours a week communicating with board members.²² In another study, based on a survey of 175 superintendents judged nationally by their peers to be outstanding, Glass found that 58 percent of superintendents spent four or more hours a week in direct communication with board members. In addition, 93 percent of surveyed superintendents perceived that they have a collaborative relationship with the school board.²³ There are many activities that can be only accomplished through collaboration between the superintendent and the board of education, including:²⁴

- Serving as advocates for the notion that all children can learn;
- Providing educational leadership for the community that focuses on developing a shared vision for schooling, and creating long-range plans through inclusive processes that engage the talents of community citizens, parents, teachers, principals, and interest groups;
- Creating strong linkages with community-based social service organizations and agencies to support families and enhance the capacity of children to learn;
- Formulating divisionwide educational policies and setting annual goals that are tied to the community's vision and student learning;
- Collaboratively developing annual budgets that support the primary purpose of education, student learning;
- Ensuring that school environments are safe and facilitate student learning;
- Providing resources to support effective professional development programs in building the capacity of administrators and teachers to improve student learning.

Planning and Assessment

A prime function of the superintendent is to provide planning and direction to the school system. This includes functions ranging from curriculum and instruction to athletic programs to financial management.²⁵ In order to be successful in these functions, the school division leaders must possess the following qualities: goal-setting, initiative, drive, high expectations, and accountability.²⁶ More than any other employee, the superintendent must constantly be concerned with systemwide missions and goals while constantly working to motivate the constituents to accept and to be committed to those missions and goals. The superintendent strives to pull the system together in a synergic effort, rather than letting it operate as individual entities with missions and goals that may not support, and may even distract from, systemwide concerns.²⁷

Data-driven decision-making

In the current context of performance standards and accountability, instructional leaders know they must develop the skills to collect and use data from a variety of sources to inform school improvement decision. They work with parents, school board members, media, and other interested groups in the community to share and interpret achievement results about what students are learning, areas that need improvement, and plans for improvement efforts. They disaggregate achievement data and get detailed, ongoing information about student performance.²⁸

Cawelti and Protheroe conducted a study to examine four school divisions that serve significant numbers of students from low-income families and have made substantial progress in narrowing the achievement on standardized test scores.²⁹ A central finding of this study is that in order to make large achievement gains, an extensive effort is necessary in making detailed analyses of student learning data and providing immediate and appropriate corrective instruction for individual students based on that data.

An effective superintendent must be a leader of data-driven practice: he/she uses student achievement data to identify gaps in learning, examine instructional practice, and inform future curricular and instructional decision-making.³⁰ Successful superintendents collect quality data to inform decision-making. They use solid baseline data to set goals that are rigorous yet attainable, align these goals with the division's existing plans and initiatives (e.g., special education, professional development), and include meaningful success/progress indicators and annual performance targets to review and revise goals as reform is implemented.³¹ The division leaders commit the division to continuous improvement based on tangible evidence of improved student learning. To do this, the leaders must: (a) communicate clear priorities; (b) build progress monitoring tools into the routine process of each school in the division to collect data to determine the effects of division decision-making on teaching, student learning, and the personnel involved; and (c) establish a division culture in which staff pay attention to what data say about learning and achievement, and they are invested in realizing their potential.³²

Strategic planning

Successful educational leaders respond proactively to challenges and opportunities created by the accountability-oriented policy context in which they work.³³ Also, superintendents must be global thinkers who have a strong grasp of the external changes facing education.³⁴ Demographics, family structure, technologies, economic and market forces, medical and health issues, religious beliefs, and global issues of trade, war, terrorism, and international cooperation are part of a superintendent's knowledge, based in helping school boards establish board policy.³⁵ Hoyle et al. stated that superintendents should "demonstrate an awareness of global issues and a reasoned understanding of major historical, philosophical, ethical, social political, and economic influences on education in a democratic society that affect executive leadership, schools, staff, and all students."³⁶

Risk-taking is a key factor in successful leadership. Most management and leadership literature advocates that risk-taking is a necessity of leadership. In educational leadership, it is important

for leaders to support innovation and risk-taking as well.³⁷ Research shows, however, that superintendents are not generally risk-takers. Carter, Glass, and Hord stated that superintendents “regard themselves as ‘hands on’ managers more than visionary executives constantly seeking alternative ways in which to make their school organization more effective. The ingrained adage ‘let’s not reinvent the wheel’ often appears to create a climate militating against creativity and risk-taking.”³⁸

The superintendent is in a vital leadership position and serves as the catalyst for orchestrating change for continuous improvement across the division.³⁹ School leaders need to be knowledgeable about planning processes; they also need to be able to monitor initiatives and take corrective action.⁴⁰ Leithwood and Riehl identified a core set of leadership practices that form the basics of successful leadership and are valuable in almost all educational contexts. One of these core practices is *setting directions*. Setting directions is a process that involves developing a collective vision of the future that focuses, inspires, and sustains goal achievement efforts over time. In this regard, division leaders identify, articulate, and endorse visions of exemplary instructional practices and model those beliefs in decision-making.⁴¹ According to the literature on leadership success, Leithwood and Riehl found that the practices of setting directions include:⁴²

- **Identifying and articulating a vision.** Effective educational leaders develop or endorse visions that embody the best thinking about teaching and learning. School leaders inspire others to reach for ambitious goals.
- **Creating shared meanings.** Because people usually base their actions on how they understand things, effective leaders help to create shared meanings and understandings to support the school/division’s vision. Effectiveness of the school/division is enhanced when both internal members and the broader community share clear understandings about students, learning, and schooling.
- **Creating high performance expectations.** Effective leaders convey their expectation for quality and high performance. They help others see the challenging nature of the goals being pursued. They sharpen perceptions of the gap between what the division aspires to, and what is presently being accomplished. Effective expressions of high expectations help people see that what is being expected is in fact possible.
- **Fostering the acceptance of group goals.** Effective educational leaders promote cooperation and assist others to work together toward common goals. In the past, teachers have often worked under conditions of relative autonomy, but new models of schools as professional learning communities emphasize the importance of shared goals and effort.
- **Monitoring organizational performance.** Effective leaders assess how well the division is performing along multiple indicators and use that information as goals are developed and reviewed. This requires skills of gathering and interpreting information, as well as a habit of inquiry and reflection. Successful leaders ask critical and constructive questions, emphasize the use of systematic evidence, and encourage careful monitoring of both teaching and student progress.
- **Communicating.** Skillful leaders focus attention on key aspects of the school/division’s vision and communicate the vision clearly and convincingly. They invite interchange with multiple stakeholders through participatory communication strategies. They frame issues in ways that will lead to productive discourse and decision-making.

Instructional programs

Although in the past superintendents may have been viewed as managers of complex bureaucracies rather than instructional leaders, the move toward instructional accountability of superintendents is not without merit or empirical evidence.⁴³ Superintendents have both a legal and a moral responsibility to ensure that schools achieve a high standard of excellence. The position of superintendents within division hierarchies suggests their ability to influence the focus and direction of the division organization. Successful innovations and school improvements often have central office support.⁴⁴ Hord asserted that the superintendents are in the most expedient position to support instructional improvement within the division.⁴⁵ Research indicates that superintendents use their bureaucratic positions in the formal organization to improve instruction through staff selection, principal supervision, instructional goal-setting and monitoring, financial planning, and consultative management practices.⁴⁶ Research findings indicate that superintendents of effective school divisions exhibit high levels of involvement in instructional matters and use managerial levers at their disposal to influence the behavior of principals and teachers who are more directly involved in improving classroom teaching and student learning.⁴⁷

Accountability systems implemented by state legislators have driven the need for strategic planning in curriculum and instruction. Hoyle et al stated that:

“The increasingly complex environment in which public schools are embedded is radically changing the work of school administrators and how they lead. For example, changing characteristics of the student population, including differences in cultures, disabilities, and socioeconomic status as well as learning capacities, are increasingly demanding interagency collaboration for the delivery of services to families and children. In addition, reform initiatives, including standards, achievement benchmarks, the application of technology to learning and teaching, and new program requirements, policies, and mandates, are changing the landscape of school and division leadership and influencing how leadership roles must be defined.”⁴⁸

Curriculum alignment is a form of strategic planning that requires constant monitoring of student progress and participation from teachers to identify goals and ensure their correct sequencing in the instructional program. Review of literature suggests that instructional capacity of a school division is built and maintained when the superintendent, principals, and teachers do the following:⁴⁹

- Understand the core technology of teaching and learning, particularly effective models of teaching;
- Engage in frequent conversations about teaching and learning;
- Create coherence by aligning curriculum, instruction, and standards;
- Use multiple sources of student assessment data; and
- Make adult learning a priority by providing relevant professional development.

Resource allocation

As resources decline and accountability demands increase, no superintendent can afford to depend on merely handling situations after they arise. Plans that address how resources will be used and what personnel will be involved are needed to ensure that expenditure of time and money are optimized in handling possible but unexpected situations.⁵⁰ In divisions with higher levels of student achievement, the superintendents ensure that the necessary resources (including time, money, personnel, and materials) are allocated to accomplish the division's goals. This can mean cutting back, or dropping, initiatives that are not aligned with a division's goals for achievement and instruction.⁵¹ For instance, Waters and Marzano found that a meaningful commitment of funding should be dedicated to professional development of teachers and principals, which should be focused on building the requisite knowledge, skills, and competencies teachers and principals need to accomplish a division's goals.⁵² Effective division leaders make creative use of all resources to support school improvement. To make time for teachers to work together, instructional leaders come up with strategies to add to, borrow from, or rearrange daily schedules. Their focus on improving learning drives every conversation about budget development and every decision about how to use existing resources.⁵³

A leader is the chief cheerleader and communicator for a shared strategic plan. In addition, leaders must use their administrative skills to create the resources and structures needed to implement the plan. Herman recommends the following action plan steps to implement a vision or strategic plan:⁵⁴

- Identify all tasks;
- Sequence the tasks;
- Identify who is responsible for each task;
- Identify needed resources for each objective; and
- State the measurement that will be used to determine whether the objective has been achieved.

Instructional Leadership

Leithwood and Riehl summarized several research-based conclusions about successful leadership, concluding that “leadership has significant effects on student learning, second only to the effects of quality of curriculum and teachers’ instruction.”⁵⁵ Empirical findings and case study observations of leaders in high-performing schools indicate that leaders influence student learning directly by coalescing and supporting teacher efforts to achieve high expectations for student learning.⁵⁶

Case studies of exceptional schools, especially those that succeed beyond expectations, provide detailed portraits of leadership. These studies indicate that school leaders influence learning primarily by galvanizing efforts around ambitious goals and establishing conditions that support teachers and that help students succeed.⁵⁷ Large-scale quantitative studies conclude that the effects of leadership on student achievement are small, accounting for only about three to five percent of the variation; however, they also indicate that leadership effects appear to be mostly

indirect. In other words, leaders influence student learning through promoting vision and goals and through ensuring that resources and processes are in place to enable teachers to teach well.⁵⁸

Vision

A superintendent who is an effective instructional leader is able to: (a) identify or develop and articulate achievable goals; (b) motivate a leadership team, especially principals, to work toward a common goal; (c) change and enhance existing structures to foster the achievement of goals; (d) invest in human and physical resources; and (e) monitor through evaluating the success of the interventions.⁵⁹ Educational reform and innovation is impossible without visionary leadership by superintendents. Effective superintendents create a vision that focuses on the core of any schooling system—teaching and learning—and communicate the vision through continual communication with principals.

A superintendent, first and foremost, must be able to foresee the possibilities for the organization and develop a cohesive, clearly-articulated vision. Zander and Zander stated that “a vision becomes a framework for possibility.”⁶⁰ Nanus defined vision as “a realistic, credible, attractive future for your organization. It is an articulation of a destination toward which the organization should aim, a future that in important ways is better, more successful, or more desirable for the organization than is present.”⁶¹ There are three dimensions of the visioning process that an effective visionary leader must possess:⁶²

- The ability to see beyond the status quo;
- The ability to see a better future; and
- The ability to align procedures to institutionalize the vision.

When forging a vision, the superintendent should:⁶³

- Develop teams representing all stakeholders;
- Listen for the common ground;
- Insist on a consistent direction that reflects the core vision; and
- Commit the vision to writing.

Leithwood and Riehl stated that vision is a central part of direction setting. Value-laden visions lead to commitment on the part of organizational members and prompt continual professional development.⁶⁴ Leithwood and Riehl also suggested that visioning could be enhanced by three additional aspects of leadership practice:

- Monitoring organizational performance;
- Communicating key aspects of the vision meaningfully and convincingly; and
- Working effectively with critical representatives from the organization.

A superintendent does not create the division vision alone—all stakeholders must have an opportunity to have input. Because people support what they help create, the more people involved in the process, the better the chance of success at achieving this vision.⁶⁵ Effective instructional leadership requires a clear instructional vision, but good visions never implement

themselves. Superintendents are dependent on principals and teachers to actually carry out the vision and are most successful when they engender commitment from the staff.⁶⁶ They do this by providing resources, buffering staff from outside meddling, being visible, engaging others in conversations about instruction, empowering collaborative risk taking, and recognizing and celebrating accomplishments.

Program management

Research has indicated that the superintendent is an essential environmental factor to the effective curriculum delivery.⁶⁷ The role of the superintendent is usually portrayed as primarily managerial in nature. Cuban asserts that most superintendents adopt, and in fact, are pressured into these managerial orientations where “doing things right” takes priority over “doing the right things.”⁶⁸ In fact, effective superintendents embrace their functions as the primary instructional leader for their divisions, prioritizing student achievement and effective instructional practices as the foremost goals of the division.⁶⁹ Effective leaders who achieve educational priorities are primarily characterized as people who influence the motivations and actions of others to achieve shared goals. Superintendents play a significant role in positively influencing motivations and actions toward successful curriculum delivery. Petersen et al. found that teachers perceive a significant relationship among superintendent instructional leadership, the creation of instructional capacity at the division and school level, and teacher professional development and instructional practices.⁷⁰ There are several supportive factors that the superintendent contributes to the improvement in curriculum delivery:⁷¹

- Possessing and articulating a vision of what the desired outcomes are and what change will look like;
- Establishing a shared vision among leadership reflecting stakeholder needs and concerns, especially in the area of curriculum;
- Identifying strategies to accomplish the vision and enabling individuals to attain these goals; and
- Conducting ongoing evaluation and improvement through formal and informal feedback.

Moreover, the superintendent plays an active role in evaluating the implementation of division instructional programming: he/she clearly and regularly communicates expectations for learning to faculty, monitors division progress toward student achievement goals, and initiates professional development that meets the specific needs of the division.⁷² A study by Morgan and Peterson examined five purposefully selected superintendents who had been recognized as effective instructional leaders.⁷³ They found that principals and school board members particularly value their superintendent’s role in the following instructional areas:

- Providing vision for instruction by planning for instruction and collaboratively developing goals;
- Evaluating and monitoring instruction;
- Promoting instruction by developing instructional leaders; and
- Communicating instructional expectations to staff and community.

Findings revealed statistically significant differences in the perceptions of principals and school board members between the selected and randomly chosen divisions. The principals and school board members from selected divisions ranked their superintendents high in all of the instructional areas. These findings are supported by many other studies, which examine superintendents in effective schools with high student achievement to identify characteristics of effective instructional leaders.⁷⁴ For instance, Murphy and Hallinger conducted a study to describe leadership practices in Instructionally Effective School Divisions, which demonstrated a high level of overall student achievement across subject areas, high growth and achievement over time, and consistent achievement across all sub-populations of students. They found that superintendents in these divisions shared common practices in their instructional leadership, including:

- Establishing student goals;
- Staffing of quality principals and direct involvement in providing guidance to principals to make them effective instructional leaders;
- Supervising and evaluating staff;
- Establishing the division focus on curriculum and instruction; and
- Monitoring curriculum and instruction.

In another study, Petersen collected data from superintendents, school boards, and principals in five California divisions that had the largest percentile growth on student assessment. He found five themes consistent among these division's instructionally focused-superintendents,⁷⁵ which were the superintendents' abilities to:

- Create an instructionally-oriented vision and communicate this vision throughout the school division;
- Demonstrate high visibility;
- Illustrate the importance of teaching and learning through professional development and shared decision-making;
- Receive school board support; and
- Use assessment and evaluation to determine if the division's school performance is meeting articulated instructional expectations and goals.

Staff development

Effective superintendents create conditions that encourage professional learning communities. Research supports the notion that schools that function as learning communities produce higher levels of student learning. A professional learning community is an environment characterized by mutual cooperation, emotional support, and personal growth as educators collaborate together to accomplish what they cannot accomplish individually.⁷⁶ In a study of first- and second-year teachers, Moore-Johnson found that this new generation of teachers wants to be well paid in their profession, seeks a variety of roles and opportunities for advancement, and desires to collaborate and find support within a professional community. Consequently, creating and sustaining a culture of support appears essential to attract and keep the best and brightest new teachers.⁷⁷

Developing teachers involves building the capacity of those within the school and using their strengths to support the school's efforts.⁷⁸ Additionally, Leithwood and colleagues identified specific factors important in building teacher capacity, including offering intellectual stimulation, providing individualized support, and providing best practice models.⁷⁹ Effective administrators provide the time, resources, and structure for meaningful professional development and recognize the teacher leadership within the building.⁸⁰ Professional development opportunities must be frequent, high quality, and pertinent to the vision and goals.⁸¹

Evidence indicates that teachers who receive substantial high quality professional development can help students achieve more.⁸² High quality professional development refers to a focus on content and pedagogy, in-depth active learning, extended duration, and collective participation.⁸³ Based on the findings of one meta-analysis, teachers who received substantial professional development (49 hours) boosted their students' achievement by 21 percentile points; this effect size was fairly consistent across all content areas.⁸⁴ This research suggests that for professional development to support an increase in student learning outcomes, sufficient time must be coupled with high quality development.

Effective school leaders "combat teacher isolation, closed doors, negativism, defeatism and teacher resistance" and they build a sense of school community.⁸⁵ Current literature revealed that professional learning communities both support positive outcomes in teacher improvement and promote increased student learning outcomes.⁸⁶ Effective division leaders, superintendents, devote significant time to developing leadership and collaboration among others in their division. Through distributing responsibilities among teachers and staff members other than the principal or themselves, superintendents tacitly but clearly acknowledge that every member of the school community has the potential and right to work as a leader.⁸⁷ Distributing leadership in this way goes beyond merely delegating responsibilities for tasks; it provides regular opportunities for everyone in the community to share what they are learning about their own practice. Division staff gradually take on a variety of roles, including coach, facilitator, or participant, to reflect on the purpose and content of the work. A study that investigated superintendents who made a difference in student learning, as measured by standardized tests and/or benchmark assessments, found that superintendents who have a positive impact on student learning do so primarily through the promotion, support, and development of principals as instructional leaders in the following ways:⁸⁸

- They promote instructional leadership.
 - They work with the larger school community to set a vision for high expectations for all students. That means establishing the belief that all children, regardless of race, gender, primary language and socio-economic status, can meet high standards.
 - They communicate to principals the importance of their role as instructional leaders and use various methods to reinforce the message, including face-to-face conversations, exhortations at public meetings, written memos and e-mails.
 - They work with principals to establish a clear definition of what instructional leadership looks like. The definition could include practices as having expertise in instructional strategies and content, use student achievement data to guide instructional decisions, create and supervise professional development for teacher

improved performance, and conduct classroom visits to monitor the implementation of programs. They also tie principal evaluation to the instructional leadership role.

- They support instructional leadership.
 - They make central office staff members see their role as offering support and help to the principals, not the other way around.
 - They reorganize the central office structure and personnel, or introduce a comprehensive training program to ensure that the central office is driven by the goals of supporting principal needs.
 - They realign the work of the central office to support principals by providing tailored support, visiting schools regularly, forming principal peer support groups, reducing paperwork and off-site meetings not directly related to instruction, and providing a confidential coach to help the principal implement his or her instructional leadership plans.

- They develop instructional leadership.
 - They provide training and on-site coaching for principals through connecting expertise in instructional practice with expertise in supervising instruction.
 - They provide tools for using data to make decisions and allocate resources to focus sharply on instruction.

Organizational Leadership

Without excellent management, leadership is not possible—management accomplishes the tasks that leadership envisions and empowers. Effective superintendents understand that they must adopt a balanced blend of leadership and management to function successfully. Contemporary superintendents have multiple responsibilities as administrators of complex educational systems. They must possess skills in program design and evaluation, policy implementation and priority establishment, public relations and collaboration, communication and participatory decision-making, fiscal management and facility planning, just to name a few. Superintendents must ensure that leadership capacity is expanded, throughout a division’s schools, to implement and sustain successful reform innovations, while at the same time appropriately distribute fiscal, material, and human resources to ensure continuous student learning. These tasks require a staggering knowledge base and range of organizational management skills.⁸⁹

Environment

A winning division environment is one that is conducive to learning—one that is free from disciplinary problems and that embodies high expectations of student achievement. The ability to shape the culture and climate of a division is essential to a superintendent’s success. Willmore stated, “Within all organizations, including school divisions, the *culture* is the way we do things, while the *climate* is the way any organization actually feels when they walk in the door or stay a while.”⁹⁰ School culture includes norms, values, rituals, assumptions, traditions, artifacts, symbols, and behavior patterns.⁹¹ School culture is determined by its history, artifacts, languages, icons, stories, and the way decisions are made in the community. Schools have

organizational personalities that include organizational styles and human dynamics.⁹² Schein stated, “[O]rganizational culture is created by shared experience, but it is the leader who initiates this process by imposing his or her beliefs, values, and assumptions at the outset.”⁹³ Research has supported the notion that school administrators set the tone for creating a culture that enhances teacher morale and student achievement.⁹⁴

Several tools have been created to assess the culture and climate of an organization. One tool is the Organizational Climate Description Questionnaire (OCDQ). The OCDQ measures whether a school climate is open and caring, or closed based on teachers’ and administrators’ assessments of interactions among teachers, and between teachers and administrators. Another measure of culture and climate is the Leadership Behavior Description Questionnaire (LBDQ), that measures the perceptions of staff regarding the leader’s ability to effectively communicate tasks, responsibilities, and rules as well as his/her friendliness, trustworthiness, and caring. In addition, Hoy and colleagues developed an instrument widely used to assess school/division climate, which is called Organizational Health Inventory.⁹⁵ It assesses seven dimensions:

1. **Instructional integrity:** the freedom of the division to conduct educational programs without extreme scrutiny from community groups with narrow vested interests, and the extent to which teachers feel protected from unreasonable community and parental demands.
2. **Behavior-initiating structure:** whether the school executive makes clear to staff their attitudes and expectations about policy, and standards of performance.
3. **Consideration:** the caring, supportive, and collegial behaviors of the school superintendent.
4. **Principal’s/superintendent’s influence:** the principal’s/superintendent’s skills of persuasion and effectiveness in working with superiors but remaining independent in thought and action.
5. **Resource support:** the availability of adequate classroom supplies and instructional materials and the ease of obtaining extra materials.
6. **Morale:** trust, confidence, enthusiasm, and friendliness among staff.
7. **Academic emphasis:** the school’s emphasis on high but achievable academic goals for students, provision of a learning environment that is serious, and expectations that students work hard and do well academically.

Personnel management

Superintendent success is dependent upon his/her ability to hire people with the right match of technical knowledge, leadership skill, and ethics. The superintendent demonstrates executive leadership by developing personnel, recruitment, selection, development, and promotion procedures and applying effective staff evaluation models and processes.⁹⁶ While recruiting, the superintendents first assess the skills that are needed in the various departments and schools of the division. Recruitment efforts should be in alignment with both the goals of the division and the policies set forth by the board of education. The superintendent should be aware of whether the division has policies that promote hiring from within while seeking diversity from without. If the hiring policies hinder the superintendent’s ability to recruit the best candidate, a revision of the policy should occur. In addition, effective superintendents use more than an “interview only”

system to select the best candidate.⁹⁷ Effective superintendents are aware that the school system, as a human organization, cannot exceed the capacity of the people in it. So they invest in teacher induction, training, and professional development programs. They are also familiar with the processes of coaching, mentoring, and reflection to guide staff development. In addition, effective superintendents examine the evaluation system of each branch in the division to determine how useful the information provided is to the employee and the supervisor. Furthermore, they know the importance of retaining the best and brightest. To achieve this, they show appreciation for employees, compensate them fairly, give them opportunities for advancement, and allow them to contribute to decision-making.⁹⁸

Hoyle et al. stated that “the notion that the leader, school, and division are only as good as the staff underscores the obligation of leaders to develop people through intellectual stimulation, promotion, and support of those engaged in change and through modeling of shared beliefs.”⁹⁹ Effective superintendents influence the development of human resources in their division. By focusing principal and teacher evaluation on instructional improvement, superintendents can create powerful learning communities within their division.¹⁰⁰ Leithwood and Riehl explain that the effective practices of developing people include:¹⁰¹

- **Offering intellectual stimulation.** Effective leaders encourage reflection, and challenge their staff to examine assumptions about their work and rethink how it can be performed. They provide information and resources to help people see discrepancies between current and desired practices. They enable teachers and others to understand and gain mastery over the complexities of necessary changes.
- **Providing individualized support.** Most educational improvement requires significant levels of change for the individuals involved. Successful educational leaders show respect for staff and concern about their feelings and needs. Leaders provide incentives and structures to promote change, as well as opportunities for individual learning and appropriate means for monitoring progress toward improvement.
- **Providing an appropriate model.** Effective educational leaders set examples for staff and others to follow that are consistent with the school/division’s values and goals. By modeling desired dispositions and actions, leaders enhance others’ beliefs about their own capacities and their enthusiasm for change.

Problem-solving

Given the complexity of the superintendent’s role and the innumerable decisions that must be made, the skills of problem-identification and problem-solving are especially important. The superintendency is a position fraught with a wide range of problems. As a consequence, an effective superintendent should be a change agent, one who is capable of improving learning and teaching, increasing management efficiency, and effectively responding to community demands.¹⁰² Randall developed the Problem Attack Behavior Inventory (PABI), a school leaders’ problem-solving framework based on five categories of practice:¹⁰³

- **Problem-recognition behavior:** the extent to which an administrator appears to perceive situations that are seen as problems by their staff.

- **Problem-analysis behavior:** the extent to which an administrator appears to discover and examine responses to problem situations.
- **Group-participation behavior:** the extent to which an administrator encourages those with whom he or she works to use initiative, to criticize, and to involve themselves in the solution of school problems.
- **Administrator-action behavior:** the extent to which an administrator acts on problem situations, including the quality of the action.
- **Administrator-evaluation behavior:** the extent to which an administrator reviews the results of his or her actions.

Very few problems confronted by superintendents have simple answers. Effective superintendents involve others in the decision-making process. They value the perceptions and insights of their school division employees and school board members and make skillful use of these resources in solving the problems. They know how to construct processes in which important decisions are made through collaboration.¹⁰⁴ Bridges and Hallinger discuss three benchmarks to assist decision-makers in deciding when to involve others in the decision process.¹⁰⁵

- **The relevance rule.** Do subordinates have a personal stake in the decision-making outcome?
- **The expertise rule.** Do subordinates have expertise to contribute to the decision?
- **The commitment consideration.** Are subordinates committed to the organization and mission? Can they be trusted to make decisions in the best interests of the organization?

In a study by Leithwood and Steinbach, school boards were asked to identify those educational leaders in their systems who they considered “experts” and those they considered more “typical,” and then compare problem-solving strategies of the two groups. The study found that the “experts” were better able to identify the problem situation and detect features of the problem that are similar to past problems. “Experts” were also better able to regulate their own problem-solving processes and were more sensitive to the task demands and the social contexts within which tasks are to be solved.¹⁰⁶ Hoyle et al. suggested that a systems-thinking approach in particular provides a useful template for identifying problems; this approach involves reflecting on causes, moving toward consensus on probable solutions, evaluating the effectiveness of interventions, and challenging conventional assumptions about practice to enhance effectiveness.¹⁰⁷ Hoy and Tarter proposed a model of decision-making which is drawn from the research of problem-solving, and adapted to educational administration. The five sequential steps of this model are:¹⁰⁸

- Recognize and define the problem;
- Analyze the difficulties in the existing situation;
- Establish criteria for a satisfactory solution;
- Develop a strategy for action, including the specification of possible alternatives, the prediction of probable consequences, deliberation, and the selection of the action plan; and
- Initiate the plan of action.

Resource management

The management of division operations and resources is the backbone of a school division. Although the emphasis of the superintendency has in recent years moved from management to leadership, managerial imperatives and leadership imperatives are not contradictory; instead, they are interdependent.¹⁰⁹ Superintendents are strong leaders only when they effectively allocate time, money, personnel, and resources in ways that align with the goal of achievement for all students. Superintendent must therefore employ a divisionwide, division-centered approach to manage both the millions of taxpayer dollars invested annually in the division and the ever-increasing array of external demands.¹¹⁰ As the complexity of the modern public school division has increased, developing and implementing operational plans and processes have become both more difficult and more critical.¹¹¹ The division's fiscal operations, bus services, nutrition services, maintenance and custodial services and the purchasing department all fall under the task of resource management. Facilities and other resources make possible the goal of ensuring the academic, physical, and emotional growth of all students. The effective superintendent manages resources so that the main focus is student learning.

Essential managerial duties of the superintendent include:¹¹²

- **Fiscal responsibilities**, such as setting spending priorities, distributing funds, and forecasting projected revenues;
- **Regulatory responsibilities**, such as ensuring compliance to accounting and auditing systems;
- **Operational responsibilities**, such as facilities management, purchasing and contracting, and property and supply management;
- **Personnel responsibilities**, such as labor relations, salary and wage management, and hiring policies.

All these resources must be effectively planned and coordinated to support long-term and short-term division needs. The major axiom of quality resource management in a school division is simple: make the most of every dollar available.¹¹³ Superintendents should make sure that every program's expenditures and productivity are reviewed.

Organizational skills

Developing the organization is a significant aspect of effective leadership. Starratt visualizes the school organization as an onion. At the core are the beliefs, assumptions, goals, and myths that are the source of vision. The outer layers are composed of policies (the basic rules governing organizational behavior), programs (the division of the school's work into departments, grade levels, and offices), organization (the distribution of resources through budgets, schedules, and staffing), and operation (the visible work of classroom teaching and learning).¹¹⁴ The integration of the vision and the organization requires versatile superintendents. Superintendents attend to aspects of the school division as an organization and a community, with consideration of internal processes and external relationships.¹¹⁵ Leithwood and Riehl summarized that effective leaders enable the school and division to function as a holistic organization and sustain the performance of staff and teachers, as well as students in the following ways:¹¹⁶

- **Strengthening a positive culture.** Effective superintendents help develop school and division cultures that embody shared norms, values, beliefs, and attitudes, and they promote mutual caring and trust among all members. School and division culture sets a tone and context within which work is undertaken and goals are pursued.
- **Modifying organizational structure.** Effective leaders monitor and adjust the structural organization of the division, including how tasks are assigned and performed, the use of time and space, the acquisition and allocation of equipment, supplies, and other resources, and all of the routine operating procedures. Organizational structure is the skeletal framework within which people carry out their work. Structure can enhance or hinder individual performance and the accomplishment of organizational goals. Effective superintendents direct structural changes that will establish positive conditions for teaching and learning.
- **Building collaborative processes.** Educational leaders enhance the performance of their divisions by providing opportunities for staff to participate in decision-making about issues that affect them and for which their knowledge is crucial. In this way, leaders help others to shape the school in ways that can accomplish shared goals and address individual concerns as well.
- **Managing the environment.** Effective superintendents work with representatives from the school division's environment, including school board members, parents, community members, business and government liaison, and other influential stakeholders. They pursue positive interactions, with the goals of fostering shared meanings, garnering resources and support, and establishing productive inter-organizational relationships, in order to effectively position their school divisions within their environment, and respond to legitimate concerns from parents and others. Educational leaders are client-centered, proactive, and focused.

Communications and Community Relations

A superintendent sets the tone, style, and philosophy of a school system's organizational approach to communication.¹¹⁷ Communities throughout the world agree that "high quality education improves community life and social cohesion, attracts and retains families, helps develop a skilled workforce, fosters economic growth, attracts new jobs, and increases real estate values."¹¹⁸ The role of the public school superintendent is a critical component to the social fabric of American life, and the governance of its public schools.¹¹⁹ Superintendents should nurture shared meanings among staff, parents, and the community to help guide action, and the understanding of events. They should also convey high expectations for performance by fostering acceptance of group goals and optimism in achieving them through sustained effort.¹²⁰

Interpersonal relations

Communication is involved in more than 90 percent of a superintendent's work time and is used while on the phone, at meetings and appointments, while writing letters and reports, etc.¹²¹ Thus, communication is a very important skill area which the superintendent can use to build constructive relation with constituents. Kindred, Bagin, and Gallagher defined communication as "a cooperative enterprise requiring the mutual interchange of ideas and information, and out of

which understanding develops and action is taken.”¹²² In order to communicate effectively, a superintendent needs to know what, how, and with whom to communicate.

Recent research literature on the superintendency shares a conception that effective superintendency, at its core, is about relationships. Coalitions, collaborations, and motivation determine whether initiatives produce desired and intended results. Therefore, the superintendent must build trust, focus attention on process, and work collaboratively with others to ensure their commitment in implementation.¹²³ Most superintendents are not natives to the communities they serve, and stay only about six to seven years before moving on to the next division. Serving and being accepted by the community are important parts of the superintendency.¹²⁴ The superintendents should have a deep understanding of the community values and expectations. In order to nurture relationships with key stakeholders, effective superintendents involve constituents in the goal setting process, share and publicize relevant school data, mobilize parents and community members, build local- or state-level coalitions, and communicate timely and relevant information to personnel.¹²⁵ Effective superintendents recognize the value of collaborative participation in the learning community as a way to build trust, collective responsibility, and to further the goal of improved student learning.¹²⁶

Communication skills

Effective superintendents communicate timely and relevant information—particularly student achievement data—to all stakeholders (parents, community, media, etc.) with great clarity and frequency, so that the vision and mission of the division are understood and supported.¹²⁷ By being a proactive communicator, the superintendent builds trust, provides actionable guidance on personnel and programs, and demonstrates responsiveness to situations as they arise.¹²⁸ Openness and honesty are the keys to communication with the community and media. In communicating the division’s vision, mission, and priorities, it is vital that the division produce easily understandable documents devoid of educational jargon.¹²⁹ Effective communication for the superintendent also requires the ability to listen, as well as to create the atmosphere and opportunities for listening to take place. It is empowering for the staff to believe in and experience openness and attentiveness from their peers and leaders. Their ideas and feelings must be heard but also acted upon. Superintendents must be visible and available to the educational community to encourage the exchange of ideas and emotions.¹³⁰

Superintendents have been expected to develop and demonstrate exemplary communication competencies.¹³¹ According to Kowalski and Björk, “The communicator role is shaped by two conditions: the need to restructure cultures and the need to access and use information in a timely manner to solve problems of practice.”¹³² The ability to understand not only what to communicate, but also how, and to whom is now considered essential to effective leadership. Technological advances have produced a world in which communication is intensified and the consequences of a mishap could spell disaster for any superintendent.¹³³

Effective superintendents constantly reflect on information gained from listening to a broad range of constituents, reviewing decisions and school board minutes, and engaging in conversation with community leaders. Superintendents have a responsibility to inform the public on how the division is managing the human and fiscal resources entrusted to the school division.

The superintendent, as the lead spokesperson for the division, must articulate not only the vision and mission of the division but its position on key issues of interest to the public, such as student discipline, dress codes, school choice, attendance zone changes, and budget setting.¹³⁴

Communication is perhaps the most needed skill of the superintendency. Houston believes that the role of superintendent in the 21st century requires an increased level of skill in the area of communication and that “the ability to communicate and market ideas will be critical.”¹³⁵ There are many tools that can be used to maintain a steady flow of information to the community, such as newsletters, printed flyers, Web pages, and speaker bureaus. Effective superintendents are proactive in assessing the needs of their communities and developing a communications plan aligned to the division’s plan and vision. Superintendents should carefully assess the information needs of the school staff and each group in the community, and then devise a system which conveys that information by the most efficient means available. Communications might include electronic or written messages, or personal or public meetings, as each situation warrants. Maintaining transparency and public perceptions of trust and confidence are keys to effective communication with the community. Importantly, each of the information-disseminating strategies should be evaluated to ensure that messages have been received and understood. Too much information can be as much of a problem as too little. The superintendent must gauge the content and quantity. This can best be done by feedback. Formal feedback can be generated by surveys; informal feedback comes from informal contact with parents, nonparents, and division staff.¹³⁶

Support diverse populations

Superintendents are increasingly hampered by demographic shifts. Trained to deal with relatively homogeneous student bodies, they are instead managing divisions that are populated by students who reflect the growing diversity of the United States. School age children who are members of a minority group rose from 28 percent in 1980 to 41.2 percent in 2010¹³⁷, and the numbers are projected to increase to 50 percent by 2050 and 64 percent by 2100.¹³⁸ The demographics have important implications for the cultural competency of educational leaders.¹³⁹ Effective school superintendents need to develop an enhanced understanding of the diverse cultures that are represented in the division.

Superintendents must be knowledgeable and sensitive to cultures found in the community. Hoyle et al. suggested that the important tenet in cultural sensitivity is two-way communication between the superintendent and members of various cultural groups. Each stakeholder group has dreams and aspirations for its participation in society, as well as expectations for the education of its children. The superintendent’s responsibility is ensuring that the division listens to and understands these stakeholders’ expectations and aspirations.¹⁴⁰

Orvando identified three best practice development strategies to prepare school leaders to be multiculturally competent: field-based experiences in diverse schools; visits to diverse schools; and courses that include multicultural competencies.¹⁴¹ Weight and Harris examined the role of the superintendent in leading the division to be more culturally proficient, resulting in narrowing the achievement gap in culturally diverse small school divisions. They found that superintendents who recognize the importance of cultural proficiency and declare their

willingness to lead the division through necessary change to address cultural proficiency lead their divisions to a reduced achievement gap.¹⁴²

Professionalism

Hoyle et al. stated that effective superintendents should: (a) understand and model appropriate value systems, ethics, and moral leadership; (b) know the role of education in democratic society; (c) exhibit multicultural competency and adapt education programming to the needs of diverse students in the school system; and (d) search for and develop opportunities for staff and students to grow.¹⁴³ Successful divisions have leaders who are professional, and able to manage the multiple pressures of their role. The superintendent of a school division has the top leadership role and ultimate responsibility for improving student performance. Effective superintendents hold themselves to this vision through professional performance in every aspect of their leadership role.

Professional demeanor and ethical behavior

Acting professional is really a combination of ethical practice and the characteristics of honesty, sincerity, truthfulness, integrity, and approachability.¹⁴⁴ Strong character and principles play an important role in effective leadership. Ethical leaders are *moral persons* who are honest, trustworthy, and fair. A significant part of the superintendent search and selection process is based on the superintendents' personal qualities and characteristics. For example, two-thirds of superintendents sampled in Glass' study indicated that they were hired because of "personal characteristics" (including the image they projected, or a role model they presented during the interview process), combined with information that search committee members gleaned through knowledgeable citizens in the candidates' divisions.¹⁴⁵

Gardner says visionary leaders convey their messages through the kinds of lives they themselves lead, and, through example, seek to inspire in their followers.¹⁴⁶ According to Beckner, many educational administrators view ethics as ideas far removed from the everyday challenges of educational leadership and management. Yet they see their paramount duty as responsibility for the children that the community entrusts to their care, and this is an ethical consideration.¹⁴⁷ Central to the role of superintendency has been a moral and ethical obligation to prepare citizens for tomorrow's American democracy.¹⁴⁸

Ethics must be an integral part of the superintendent's thought process starting with the vision and continuing with every decision made. Leading ethically becomes especially important when disparate stakeholder groups compete for limited time and resources. Ethical leaders were seen as principled decision-makers who care about people and the greater good of society. They are known for behaving ethically in their personal and professional lives. In addition, ethical leaders are also *moral managers*: they proactively attempt to influence followers' ethical and unethical behavior. They make ethics salient by communicating clear ethical standards, intentionally role modeling ethical behavior, and by using rewards and discipline to hold followers accountable for ethical conduct.¹⁴⁹ Brown defined ethical leadership as "the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion

of such conduct to followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and decision making.”¹⁵⁰

According to the American Association of School Administrator, the ethical superintendent:¹⁵¹

- Makes the well-being of students the fundamental value of all decision-making and actions;
- Fulfills professional responsibilities with honesty and integrity;
- Supports the principle of due process and protects the civil and human rights of all individuals;
- Obeys local, state, and national laws and does not knowingly join or support organizations that advocate, directly or indirectly, the overthrow of the government;
- Implements the governing board of education’s policies and administrative rules and regulations;
- Pursues appropriate measures to correct those laws, policies, and regulations that are not consistent with sound educational goals;
- Avoids using his or her position for personal gain through political, social, religious, economic, and other influences;
- Accepts academic degrees or professional certification only from duly accredited institutions;
- Maintains the standards and seeks to improve the effectiveness of the profession through research and continuing professional development; and
- Honors all contracts until fulfillment, release, or dissolution is mutually agreed upon by all contracting parties.

Collaboration

Paul Houston, executive director of American Association of School Administrators, summarizes the changing roles and expectation of superintendents, “Superintendents once were considered successful if they could manage the “B’s” of division leadership: buildings, buses, books, budgets, and bonds; however, today the challenge is to shift the focus of division leadership to the “C’s”, including connection, communication, collaboration, community building, child advocacy, and curricular choices that lead to academic progress for all children.”¹⁵²

Currently, most of the attention on reforming schools focuses on the classroom. However, reform efforts that rely solely on the work of individual teachers or even exemplary principals are not enough. For most of the past two decades of change in K-12 education, researchers and policymakers have also acknowledged the importance of the division system in moving reform ahead. Hill stated, “...school reform ultimately has to happen in the classroom, but the odds that you’re going to get spontaneous improvement in the classroom without changing the broader, regulatory environment are pretty low. Classrooms are the way they are in large part because of what happens at the division level.”¹⁵³

One characteristic of effective superintendents is willingness to engage staff in an inclusive and open discussion of issues facing the division. Coleman and LaRocque examined the link between division culture and high levels of student achievement.¹⁵⁴ They found that the leadership role of

the superintendent in high-performing divisions involved a considerable amount of team-building and collaboration with building principals and teachers. They found that the most fundamental differences between the high- and low-performing divisions were the superintendents' personal judgments about the value of consensus and the collaborative work of members in the organization toward the academic achievement of students.

Leaders realize that keeping abreast of, and informing staff about, current research and practice is critical to school success. They emphasize and communicate that schools are learning communities, and they provide both formal and informal opportunities for collaborative learning.¹⁵⁵ Research indicated that collaborative leadership could positively impact student learning through building the school's capacity for academic improvement.¹⁵⁶ There is empirical support that leadership for student learning is a process of mutual influence in which school capacity both shapes, and is shaped by the school's collective leadership.¹⁵⁷ In addition, research also indicated that a school division superintendent could increase principal instructional leadership through collaborating and fostering system coherence.¹⁵⁸

Although time and attention devoted to one group may be disproportionate to that devoted to others, the effectiveness of a school system depends on the empowerment of all the partners in the educational endeavor. An image that helps represent this shared responsibility and relationship is a wheel. The superintendent is the hub with the spokes of empowerment connected to each group involved in the system.¹⁵⁹

Research has indicated that empowering staff can stimulate cooperation and team-learning. Researchers have consistently demonstrated support for the value of making schools 'centers for caring' with flexible and inclusive leadership, rather than traditional bureaucracies with a rigid management-over-workers hierarchy.¹⁶⁰ School executives who wish to empower others are good listeners, understand the social and cultural structure of the division, and above all understand the human side of the organization. Empowering others builds human potential and leadership capacity. Hoyle et al. summarized the following four benefits of empowerment.¹⁶¹

- **Empowerment creates a positive, supportive school division culture and climate.** It binds staff members together by shared professional aspirations and thereby fosters esprit de corps among them.
- **Empowerment provides a mechanism for rewarding staff members.** Superintendents create a positive environment by encouraging and promoting people with passion and talent. This process inspires individuals to empower others and thus results in higher-performing school divisions.
- **Empowerment builds a pool of talent.** Individuals within the division, including women and minorities who may not fit the traditional image of school administrator, will be given the chance to advance. Rather than searching for talent outside the division, encouraging the potential within ensures continuity and morale.
- **Empowerment opens channels of communication.** When trust is established between the superintendent and others, the real issues of division improvement can be openly discussed. Empowerment creates a flow of information that is often blocked in school bureaucracies.

Professional development for the superintendent

Superintendents need ongoing professional development opportunities throughout their careers because the field of education is constantly changing. The school division superintendent position was created during the late 1800s; by 1900, most city school divisions had established this position. The need for school systems to have a top executive derived from a myriad of conditions including the development of larger school divisions, the consolidation of rural school divisions, an expanded state curriculum, the passage of compulsory attendance laws, demands for increased accountability, and efficiency expectations.¹⁶² Kowalski depicted five role conceptualizations to demonstrate how the position of school division superintendent evolved over time:¹⁶³

- **Teacher-scholar:** with the primary foci on implementing a state curriculum and supervising teachers;
- **Manager:** with the primary focus on scientific management to improve operations by concentrating on time and efficiency;
- **Democratic leader:** perceiving superintendents as acute political strategists;
- **Applied social scientist:** with the primary focus on applying scientific inquiry to the problems, emphasizing on empiricism, predictability, and scientific certainty in practice;
- **Communicator:** with primary focus on the capacity to work collaboratively with principals, teachers, parents, and taxpayers to build and pursue collective visions.

None of these five roles has become irrelevant to modern practice, and it is virtually impossible to neatly separate them. Accordingly, Björk and colleagues used the five conceptualizations to identify the knowledge and skills required for each role. The following table can serve as a framework for superintendent preparation and professional development.¹⁶⁴

Knowledge and skills associated with superintendent role conceptualizations

Role	Pertinent Knowledge and Skills
Teacher-Scholar	Pedagogy; educational psychology; curriculum; instructional supervision; staff development; educational philosophy
Manager	Law; personnel administration; finance/budgeting; facility development/maintenance; collective bargaining/contract maintenance; public relations
Democratic Leader	Community relations; collaborative decision making; politics
Applied Social Scientist	Quantitative and qualitative research; behavioral sciences
Communicator	Verbal communications; written communication; listening; public speaking; media relations
Multirole	Motivation; organizational theory; organizational change and development; leadership theory; ethical/moral administration; technology and its applications; diversity/multiculturalism; human relations

An important strategy for superintendents' continuous professional development is reflection,¹⁶⁵ which aids a superintendent in understanding potential and actual problems faced by the division, gathering information, and moving towards solution strategies.

As leaders of schools, superintendents are isolated at the top of their organizations.¹⁶⁶ Training and professional learning options are narrow for today's superintendents because of the responsibilities placed on them as chief executive officers and because of the isolation of their work and positions. Superintendents often rely on professional support organizations and the advice of, and interactions with, other colleagues to constitute the majority of their professional development.¹⁶⁷ The need for superintendents to engage in continuous professional development will increase as the role continues to evolve, and expectations for greater accountability in student achievement increase.¹⁶⁸ In a recent study by Cooper, Fusarelli, and Carella for the American Association of School Administrators, superintendents called for more support to ensure their well-being and job success, and more opportunities for professional training and counseling.¹⁶⁹

Professional development for superintendents will be successful when the tenets of adult learning are followed. Case methods, Socratic dialogue, and critical inquiry are possible options for professional development. The process of professional development should include four major phases:¹⁷⁰

- **Need.** Identify what learning is needed so as to achieve goals.
- **Create.** Create a strategy and resources to achieve the learning goals.
- **Implement.** Implement the learning strategy and use the learning resources.
- **Evaluate.** Assess the attainment of the learning goal and the process of reaching it.

Service to others

Superintendents can be of service to the learning community through mentoring—not just serving as a mentor for principals, but also to other employees of the school division who have aspirations to be leaders. Mentoring is a critical aspect of most professional improvement processes, which places the stewardship for learning the art and science of leadership and administration in the hands of veterans who are recognized for their knowledge and skills at coaching aspiring mentees.¹⁷¹ A survey study conducted by American Association of School Administrators reported that mentoring is a widespread practice in the profession of superintendency.¹⁷² Sixty-six percent of the responding superintendents had served as mentors to peers. Superintendents typically mentor colleagues by serving as role models, sharing information, providing feedback, offering insights, and helping to guide reflective practice. Furthermore, the amount, immediacy, and quality of feedback provided by mentors are directly related to learning and skill transfer.

Developing effective school leaders requires efforts by divisions, such as when divisions provide opportunities for teachers to engage in authentic leadership and socialization experiences with school administrators. Effective superintendents demonstrate the value of principalship and its requirements, and encourage talented educators to seek the position. Preparing individuals to become principals involves ongoing evaluation, supervision, coaching, and continuous career-long professional development.¹⁷³

A study by Orr found that many new superintendents do not feel properly equipped for the demands of superintendency, and they present a strong need for professional learning.¹⁷⁴ Orr discovered that some new superintendents request professional development opportunities that include meeting with more experienced superintendents for guidance or coaching, context-based and problem-based professional development, and training based on gaining a greater understanding of fiscal matters. Correspondingly, veteran superintendents can be of service to the younger generation by providing professional development that emphasizes skills and building knowledge base while also creating opportunities for networking with others.¹⁷⁵

Student Academic Progress

Schools divisions that have achieved continued improvement in student outcomes based decisions on data rather than on habit or hunch. Their superintendents and principals are able to monitor strengths and weaknesses in performance and proactively modify what is not working. They recognize that end-of-the-year standardized test is an important means to evaluate the summative performance students, but they are also aware that it does not provide all of the information the division needs. Therefore, they design multiple measures to assess school and student progress.¹⁷⁶ Tongeri and Anderson found school divisions that demonstrate significant improvement in academic achievement are determined to improve instruction and made decisions based on data, not instinct. They consistently do three things:¹⁷⁷

- Systematically gather data on multiple issues, such as grades, student work, end-of-unit test scores, suspension information, mobility rates, attendance, diagnostic data, school and community climate, customer satisfaction, and demographic indicators;

- Develop multimeasure accountability systems to gauge student and school progress; and
- Encourage teachers and administrators to use data to guide decision-making.

Tongerli and Anderson also found that the leaders of high-improvement school divisions sought to incorporate a reflective and evidence-based approach to improve instructional capacity. They expected teachers to actively engage all students in rigorous content, assess the impact of instructional methods, reflect on their practice, collaborate with colleagues to research and share effective practice, and make timely and appropriate adjustments to maximize student learning.

Student progress

Waters and Marzano indicated that there is a statistically significant difference in student achievement based on the quality of division-level leadership.¹⁷⁸ They found that when the division leadership increases in quality by one standard deviation, average student academic achievement could increase from the 50th percentile to the 59.5th percentile, a gain of almost 10 percentile points. In addition, some of the essential goals of superintendency are to promote academic rigor in teaching and learning among staff and students to raise student performance on state and national exams, and to promote the demonstration of critical thinking and ethical behaviors among students.¹⁷⁹

Public demands for accountability and improved school performance have never been greater. Björk stated: “Conventional assumptions about teaching and learning, as well as the manner in which schools are structured, managed, and governed, are being challenged, and recommendations for change are being advanced by a broad spectrum of reformers both within and outside of traditional educational circles.”¹⁸⁰ These challenges and recommendations are centered on state, national, and international performance on test scores. Therefore, one of the greatest pressures on school superintendents is the one to obtain higher performance on high-stakes tests from the schools in their division.¹⁸¹

The increasing demand for accountability makes it no longer plausible that a superintendent goes before the school board or media, and simply claims that the division is doing a great job in educating students. Superintendents must have the skills to explain how well the students compare to others in the state and nation. The division leaders must be a linchpin in monitoring and evaluating student achievement on the basis of objectives and expected student outcomes. They also should have a proficient literacy of assessment to understand that assessment of teaching and learning is more than the traditional norm-referenced, criterion-referenced, and multiple-choice, it also includes more authentic measures, such as portfolios, exhibitions, performance events, and open-ended response items. Superintendents must find the best ways to respond to political and social demands for student success on high-stakes tests, but also find ways to promote among students critical, and creative thinking.¹⁸²

Test-based accountability has gained support from political and corporate sectors demanding rigorous standards and accountability and from advocates for poor children who have been neglected and lagging behind in America’s classrooms. Advantages of implementing high-stakes testing include their capacity to promote alignment of curriculum, instruction, and assessment through student performance data that allows educators to make informed instructional

decisions.¹⁸³ This narrow view of assessing student learning has created strong opposition as well, however; opponents argue that test-based accountability limits the curriculum and learning opportunities for all students, and should be replaced by more flexible child-centered policies.¹⁸⁴ Extant literature has documented both positive and negative impacts of standardized assessments on teachers' instruction and assessment at the classroom level. The positive evidence indicates that standardized tests motivate teachers to:

- Align their instruction to standards;
- Maximize instructional time;
- Work harder to cover more material in a given amount of instructional time; and
- Adopt a better curriculum or more effective pedagogical methods.¹⁸⁵

Other research, however, reveals that high-stakes assessments force teachers to:

- Narrow the curriculum;
- Focus on memorization, drills, and worksheets;
- Allocate less time to higher-order skills; and
- Restrict their teaching to formulaic approaches to instruction.¹⁸⁶

Superintendents must be skilled in responding to accountability demands, such as required state and federal benchmarks, with strategies to meet the benchmarks, and help promote a more comprehensive and inclusive learning environment in the school division. There is a delicate balance between following the vision of higher student test performance, and the professional and personal concerns of students, staff, and community.¹⁸⁷

Supportive superintendents can influence classrooms through the establishment of mechanisms that can make improved teaching and learning a reality. As an instructional leader, the superintendent should incorporate research findings on learning and instruction, instructional time, and resources to maximize student outcomes and to apply best practices in the integration of curriculum and resources as well as assessment strategies to help all students achieve high levels.¹⁸⁸ In discussing the superintendent of the future, Doyle stated, "The true superintendent will be the CAO: Chief Academic Officer. That is what schools should be about, that is what school leadership should be about...academics first, academics last. Everything else should contribute to the school's academic mission."¹⁸⁹

END NOTES

-
- 1 Scianfani, S. (1987).
 - 2 Haughland, M. (1987).
 - 3 American Association of School Administrators. (1993).
 - 4 Cooper, B., Fusarelli, L., & Randall, E. (2004). p. 3
 - 5 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 6 Richardson, A. (n.d.).
 - 7 Kowalski, T. J. (2006). p. 169
 - 8 Leithwood, K. (1996). Cited in Sheppard, B., Brown, J., & Dibbon, D. (2009).
 - 9 Shannon, S., & Bylsma, P. (2004).
 - 10 Corcoran, T. & Lawrence, N. (2003).
 - 11 Shannon, S., & Bylsma, P. (2004).
 - 12 Corcoran, T. & Lawrence, N. (2003); Spillane, J. P. (1996).
 - 13 Kowalski, T. J. (1995).
 - 14 Blumberg, A., & Blumberg, P. (1985); McCurdy, J. M. (1992); Odden, A. R. (1995).
 - 15 Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006).
 - 16 Keedy, J. L., & Björk, L. G. (2002).
 - 17 Keedy, J. L., & Björk, L. G. (2002).
 - 18 Björk, L., & Lindle, J. (2001).
 - 19 McCarty, D., & Ramsey, C. (1971), cited in Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 20 Glass, T., Björk, L., & Brunner, C. (2000).
 - 21 Fullbright, L., & Goodman, R. H. (1999); Glass, T. (2001).
 - 22 Carter, D., Glass, T., & Hord, S. (1994).
 - 23 American Association of School Administrators, (2007).
 - 24 Goodman, R., & Zimmerman, W. (2000). Cited in Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 25 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 26 ECRA Group. (2010).
 - 27 Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. A. (1995).
 - 28 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005); King, D. (2002).
 - 29 Cawelti, C., & Protheroe, N. (2002).
 - 30 ECRA Group. (2010); Massell, D. (2000).
 - 31 Bennett, T. (2009); ECRA Group. (2010); Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2007).
 - 32 ECRA Group. (2010); Domenech, D. (2009); DuFour, R. (2007).
 - 33 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
 - 34 McCarthy, M. (2002).
 - 35 Fusarelli, L., & Peterson, G. (2002); Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 36 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). P. 21
 - 37 Bunner, C. C. (1999).
 - 38 Carter, D. S. G., Glass, T. E., & Hord, S. M. (1993).
 - 39 Bird, J. J. (2011).
 - 40 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). p.5
 - 41 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
 - 42 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). pp. 3-4
 - 43 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).

-
- 44 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).
- 45 Hord, S. M. (1993).
- 46 Björk, L. G. (1993); Brendeson, P. V. (1996); Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002).
- 47 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 48 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). pp. 3-4
- 49 Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).
- 50 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 51 Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006).
- 52 Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006).
- 53 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 54 Herman, J. J. (1990).
- 55 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). p. 2
- 56 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 57 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
- 58 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).
- 59 Lewthwaite, B. (2006).
- 60 Zander, R. S., & Zander, B. (2000). p. 169
- 61 Nanus, B. (1992). p. 8
- 62 Lashway, L. (2006).
- 63 Lashway, L. (2006).
- 64 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
- 65 Lashway, L. (2006).
- 66 Lashway, L. (2002).
- 67 Lewthwaite, B. (2006); Lewthwaite, B., & Cuthbert, P. (2008).
- 68 Cuban, L. (1988). p. 190.
- 69 ECRA Group. (2010).
- 70 Petersen, G. J., Sayre, C. W., & Kelly, V. L. (2007).
- 71 Lewthwaite, B. (2006); Lewthwaite, B., & Cuthbert, P. (2008).
- 72 ECRA Group. (2010).
- 73 Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002).
- 74 Murphy, J., & Hallinger, P. (1986); Petersen, G. J. (2002); Peterson, K. D., Murphy, J., & Hallinger, P. (1987).
- 75 Petersen, G. J. (1999).
- 76 King, D. (2002).
- 77 Moore-Johnson, 2004.
- 78 Marzano, Waters, & McNulty, 2005.
- 79 Leithwood, Seashore, Anderson, & Wahlstrom, 2004.
- 80 Blase & Blase, 1999; Drago-Severson, 2004; Fullan, Bertani, & Quinn, 2004.
- 81 Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. J. (1995).
- 82 Little, 1993.
- 83 Desimone, Porter, Garet, Yoon, & Birman, 2002.
- 84 Yoon, Duncan, Lee, Scarloss, & Shapley, 2007.
- 85 The Wallace Foundation, 2011, p.6.
- 86 Desimone, Porter, Garet, Yoon, & Birman, 2002; Guskey, 2000.
- 87 King, D. (2002).
- 88 Superintendents and student achievement. (n.d.).

-
- 89 Browne-Ferrigno, T., & Glass, T. E. (2005).
- 90 Wilmore, E. L. (2008). p. 33
- 91 Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2001); Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 92 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 93 Schein, E. (2004), p. 225
- 94 Clark, D. J. (2009); Cunningham, W., & Gresso, D. (1993).
- 95 Hoyle, J., Ealy, C., Hogan, D., & Skrla, L. (2001).
- 96 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 97 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 98 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 99 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 100 Lashway, L. (2002).
- 101 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). p. 4
- 102 Björk, L. G., Glass, T. E., & Brunner, C. C. (2005).
- 103 Randall, R. (1964), cited in Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). .
- 104 Leithwood, K., & Steinbach, R. (1995).
- 105 Bridges, E., & Hallinger, P. (1991), (1992); Hoy, W., & Tarter, J. (1995); Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005);
- 106 Leithwood, K., & Steinbach, R. (1990), (1995).
- 107 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 108 Hoy, W., & Tarter, J. (1995). Cited in Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 109 Glass, T. (2005).
- 110 ECRA Group, (2010); Portis, C., & Garcia, M. W. (2007).
- 111 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 112 ECRA Group, (2010).
- 113 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 114 Starratt, R. J. (1995).
- 115 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
- 116 Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). p. 5
- 117 Bohlen, D. B. (1998).
- 118 Borut, D. J., Bryant, A. L., & Houston, P. D. (2005). p. 3
- 119 Glass, T., Carter, D., & Hord, S. (1993).
- 120 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 121 Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. J. (1995).
- 122 Kindred, L. W., Bagin, D., & Gallagher, D. R. (1984). p. 78
- 123 ECRA Group, (2009); Portis, C., & Garcia, M. W. (2007).
- 124 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 125 ECRA Group, (2009).
- 126 Prestine & Nelson, 2003.
- 127 ECRA Group, (2010).
- 128 McCullough, B. (2009).
- 129 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 130 Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. J. (1995).
- 131 Kowalski, T. J. (2005b).
- 132 Kowalski, T. J., & Björk, L. G. (2005), p. 9
- 133 Usmani, S. (2010).

-
- 134 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 135 Houston, P. (2001).
- 136 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 137 Brookings. (2012).
- 138 Institute for Educational Leadership. (2001).
- 139 Banks, J., Cookson, P., Gay, G., Hawley, W., Irvine, J., Neito, S., et al. (2001).
- 140 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 141 Orvando, M. (2002).
- 142 Wright, H., Jr., & Harris, S. (2010).
- 143 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 144 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 145 Glass, T. E. (1992).
- 146 Gardner, H. (1995).
- 147 Beckner, W. (2003).
- 148 Sergiovanni, T. (1992).
- 149 Brown, M. E. (2007).
- 150 Brown, M. E. (2007). p. 141
- 151 Cunningham, L., & Hentges, J. (1982). Cited in Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 152 Education Writers Association. (2003).
- 153 Education Writers Association. (2003). p. 2
- 154 Coleman, P., & LaRocque, L. (1990).
- 155 Blase, J., & Blase, J. (1999).
- 156 Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010).
- 157 Sayre, C. W. (2007).
- 158 Bang-Knudesen, P. Jr. (2009).
- 159 Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. J. (1995).
- 160 Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005).
- 161 Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005).
- 162 Kowalski, T. J. (2003).
- 163 Kowalski, T. J. (2005a).
- 164 Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005). p. 78
- 165 Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005).
- 166 Bowmaster, R. J. (2007).
- 167 Bowmaster, R. J. (2007).
- 168 Taylor, T. W. (2009).
- 169 Cooper, B., Fusarelli, L. D. & Carella, V. A. (2000).
- 170 Knowles, M., Horton, M., & Swanson, T. (2005).
- 171 Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005).
- 172 Glass, T. E., Björk, L. G., & Brunner, C. C. (2000).
- 173 Browne-Ferrigno, T., & Muth, R. (2004).
- 174 Orr, M. T. (2006).
- 175 Björk, L. G., & Kowalski, T. J.
- 176 The Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement. (n.d.).
- 177 Togneri, W., & Anderson, S. E. (2003).
- 178 Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. (2006).

-
- 179 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 180 Björk, L. G. (2001). p. 286
- 181 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 182 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 183 Fuller, E. J., & Johnson, E. (2001); Skirla, L., Scheurich, J., & Johnson, J. F. (2001).
- 184 Amrein, A., & Berliner, D. (2002); Amrein, A., & Berliner, D. (2003); Thompson, S. (2001).
- 185 Borko, H., & Elliott, R. (1999); Shepard, L. A., & Dougherty, K. C. (1991); Thayer, Y. (2000); Vogler, K. E. (2002).
- 186 Hamilton, L., & Stecher, B. (2004); Jones, B. D., & Egley, R. J. (2004); Jones, G., Jones, B. D., Hardin, B., Chapman, L., Yardrough, T., & Davis, M. (1999); Stecher, B. M., & Mitchell, K. J. (1995).
- 187 Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- 188 Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).
- 189 Doyle, D. P. (1998). p. 16

REFERENCES

- American Association of School Administrators. (1993). *Professional standards for the superintendency*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- American Association of School Administrators. (2007). *The state of the American school superintendency: A mid-decade study*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Amrein, A., & Berliner, D. (2002). High stakes testing, uncertainty, and student learning. *Educational Policy Archives*, 10(18). Available at <http://epaa.asu.edu/ojs/>.
- Amrein, A. T., & Berliner, D. C. (2003). The effects of high-stakes testing on student motivation and learning. *Educational Leadership*, 60(5), 32-40.
- Bang-Knudesen, P. Jr. (2009). *How can a school division superintendent increase principal instructional leadership? A case study of a superintendent's efforts to increase professional and system learning through establishing system-wide coherence*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Washington.
- Banks, J. (1994). *Multiethnic education: Theory and practice*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Banks, J., Cookson, P., Gay, G., Hawley, W., Irvine, J., Neito, S., et al. (2001). Diversity within unity: Essential principles for teaching and learning in a multicultural society. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 83(3), 196-212.
- Beckner, W. (2003). *Ethics for educational leaders*. Boston: Pearson.
- Bennett, T. (2009). A five-year push for division change. *School Administrator*, 66(4), 42.
- Bird, J. J. (2011). Dollars and sense: A budget-building framework of transparency for superintendent leadership. *Management in Education*, 25(4), 156-162.
- Björk, L. G. (1993). Effective schools—effective superintendents: The emerging instructional leadership role. *Journal of School Leadership*, 3, 246-259.
- Björk, L. (2001). The role of the central office in decentralization. In G. Perreault & F. Lunenburg (Eds.), *The changing world of school administration: Ninth yearbook of the National Council of Professors of Educational Administration* (pp. 206-309). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Björk, L. G., Glass, T. E., & Brunner, C. C. (2005). Characteristics of American school superintendents. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 19-44). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Björk, L. G., & Kowalski, T. J. (Eds.). (2005). *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Björk, L. G., Kowalski, T. J., & Browne-Ferrigno, T. (2005). Learning theory and research: A framework for changing superintendent preparation and development. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 71-106). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Björk, L., & Lindle, J. (2001). Superintendents and interest groups. *Educational Policy*, 15(1), 76-91.
- Blase, J., & Blase, J. (1999). Leadership for staff development: Supporting the lifelong study of teaching and learning. Educational Resources Information Center: U. S. Department of Education. 2-18.
- Blumberg, A., & Blumberg, P. (1985). *The school superintendent: Living with conflict*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Bohen, D. B. (1998). Communications: Illusions and realities. In R. R. Spillane and P. Regnier (Eds.), *The superintendent of the future: Strategy & action for achieving academic excellence* (pp. 219-236). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers.
- Borko, H., & Elliott, R. (1999). Hands-on pedagogy versus hands-off accountability. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 80(5), 394-400.
- Borut, D. J., Bryant, A. L., & Houston, P. D. (2005, September 26). Conflict or consensus: Why collaboration between cities and schools is the key to reform. *Education Week*. Available at www.thefreelibrary.com.
- Bowmaster, R. J. (2007). *Professional development and learning tactics of school superintendents*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Virginia.
- Brendeson, P. V. (1996). Superintendents' role in curriculum development and instructional leadership: Instructional visionaries, collaborators, supporters, and delegators. *Journal of School Leadership*, 6(3), 243-264.
- Bridges, E., & Hallinger, P. (1991). Problem-based learning: A promising approach for preparing educational administrators. *UECA Review*, 23(2), 306.
- Bridges, E., & Hallinger, P. (1992). *Problem-based learning for administrators*. Eugene, OR: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management.
- Brown, M. E. (2007). Misconceptions of ethical leadership: How to avoid potential pitfalls. *Organizational Dynamics*, 36(2), 140-155.
- Browne-Ferrigno, T., & Glass, T. E. (2005). Superintendent as organizational manager. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 137-161). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

- Browne-Ferrigno, T., & Muth, R. (2004). Leadership mentoring on clinical practice: Role socialization, professional development, and capacity building. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44(4), 468-494.
- Brunner, C. C. (1999). Taking risks: A requirement of the new superintendency. *Journal of School Leadership*, 9, 290-310.
- Carter, D. S. G., Glass, T. E., & Hord, S. M. (1993). *The American school superintendent: Leading in an age of pressure*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Carter, D., Glass, T., & Hord, S. (1994). *Selecting, preparing, developing the school division superintendent*. New York: Falmer.
- Cawelti, G., & Protheroe, N. (2002). *A policy brief for leadership teams: High student achievement: How six school divisions changed into high-performing systems*. Philadelphia: Laboratory for Student Success.
- Clark, D. J. (2009). *The impact of leadership and culture on student achievement: A case study of a successful rural school division*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.
- Coleman, P., & LaRocque, L. (1990). *Struggling to be good enough: Administrative practices and school division ethos*. London: Falmer Press.
- Cooper, B., Fusarelli, L. D. & Carella, V. A. (2000). *Career crisis in the school superintendency? The results of a national survey*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.
- Cooper, B., Fusarelli, L., & Randall, E. (2004). *Better policies, better schools: Theories and applications*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Corcoran, T. & Lawrence, N. (2003). *Changing Division Culture and Capacity: The Impact of the Merck Institute for Science Education Partnership*. Consortium for Policy Research in Education. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania. Available at http://www.cpre.org/Publications/Publications_Research.htm
- Cuban, L. (1988). *The managerial imperative and the practice of leadership in schools*. New York: State University of New York.
- Cunningham, L., & Hentges, J. (1982). *The American school superintendency 1982: A summary report*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.
- Cunningham, W., & Gresso, D. (1993). *Cultural leadership: The culture of excellence in education*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

- Desimone, L.M., Porter, A.C., Garet, M.S., Yoon, K.W., & Birman, B.F. (2002). Effects of professional development on teachers' instruction: results from a three-year longitudinal study. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24 (2), 81-112.
- Domenech, D. (2009). A foundation for leadership support. *School Administrator*, 66(4), 41.
- Dufour, R. (2007). In praise of top-down leadership. *School Administrator*, 65(10), 38-42.
- Doyle, D. P. (1998). The main thing—academic learning. In R. R. Spillane and R. Regnier (Eds.), *The superintendent of the future: Strategy and action for achieving academic excellence* (pp. 15-30). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers.
- Drago-Severson, E. (2004). *Helping teachers learn: Principal leadership for adult growth and development*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- ECRA Group. (2010). *Effective superintendents: ECRA literature review*. Available at <http://resources.aasa.org/ConferenceDaily/handouts2011/3000-1.pdf>.
- Education Writers Association. (2003). *Effective superintendents, effective boards: Finding the right fit*. Available at <http://www.wallacefoundation.org/view-latest-news/InTheNews/Documents/Effective-Superintendents-Effective-Boards-Finding-the-Right-Fit.pdf>.
- Fullan, M., Bertani, A., & Quinn, J. (2004, April). New lessons for divisionwide reform: Effective leadership for change has 10 crucial components. *Educational Leadership*, 61(7), 41-46.
- Fullbright, L., & Goodman, R. H. (1999). Ten things superintendents can do to create and maintain an effective school governance team. *ERS Spectrum*, 17(4). Available at <http://www.ers.org/spectrum/fall99a.htm>.
- Fuller, E. J., & Johnson, F. (2001). Can state accountability systems drive improvement in school performance for children of color and children from low-income families? *Educational Urban Society*, 33(3), 260-283.
- Fusarelli, L., & Peterson, G. (2002). Changing relationships: An exploration of current trends influencing the relationship between superintendents and boards of education. In G. Perreault & F. Lunenburg (Eds.), *The changing world of school administration: Ninth yearbook of the National Council of Professors of Educational Administration* (pp. 282-293). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Gardner, H. (1995). *Leading minds: An anatomy of leadership*. New York: Basic Books.
- Glass, T. E. (1992). *The study of the American school superintendency: America's education leader in a time of reform*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.

- Glass, T. (2001). *Superintendent leaders look at the superintendency, school boards, and reform*. Denver, CO: Education Commission of the States.
- Glass, T. (2005). Management matters. *American School Board Journal*, 192(10), 34-39.
- Glass, T. E., Björk, L. G., & Brunner, C. C. (2000). *The study of the American superintendency 2000: A look at the superintendent in the new millennium*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.
- Glass, T., Carter, D., & Hord, S. (1993). *Selecting, preparing, and developing the school division superintendent*. Bristol, PA: Falmer Press.
- Goodman, R., & Zimmerman, W. (2000). *Thinking differently: Recommendations for 21st century school board/superintendent leadership, governance, and teamwork for high student achievement*. Marlborough, MA: Educational Research Services and New England School Development Council.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership & management*, 30(2), 95-110.
- Hamilton, L., & Stecher, B. (2004). Responding effectively to test-based accountability. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 85(8), 578-583.
- Haughland, M. (1987). Professional competencies needed by school superintendents, as perceived by school board members and superintendents in South Dakota. *ERS Spectrum*, 5(4), 409-442.
- Herman, J. J. (1990). Action plans to make your vision a reality. *NASSP Bulletin*, 74, 14-17.
- Hord, S. M. (1993). Smoke, mirrors or reality: Another instructional leader. In D. S. G. Carter, T. E. Glass, and S. M. Hord (Eds.), *Selecting, preparing, and developing the school division superintendents* (pp. 1-19). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.
- Houston, P. (2001). Superintendents for the 21st century: It's not just a job, it's a calling. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 82(6), 428-433.
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2004). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice* (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hoy, W., & Tarter, J. (1995). *Administrators solving problems of practice*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). *The superintendent as CEO: Standards-based performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

- Hoyle, J., Ealy, C., Hogan, D., & Skrla, L. (2001). Superintendent performance evaluation and its relationship to division student performance. In T. Kowalski & G. Parrault (Eds.), *21st century challenges for school administrators* (pp. 272-285). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Institute for Educational Leadership. (2001, February). *Leadership for student learning: Restructuring school division leadership*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Jones, B. D., & Egley, R. J. (2004). Voice from the frontlines: Teachers' perceptions of high-stakes testing. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 12(39). Retrieved November 17, 2007, from <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/va12n39>.
- Jones, G., Jones, B. D., Hardin, B., Chapman, L., Yardrough, T., & Davis, M. (1999). The impact of high-stakes testing on teachers and students in North Carolina. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 81(3), 199-203.
- Keedy, J. L., & Björk, L. G. (2002). Superintendents and local boards and the potential for community polarization: The call for use of political strategist skills. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils facing today's school superintendent* (pp. 103-127). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Kindred, L. W., Bagin, D., & Gallagher, D. R. (1984). *The school and communication relations* (3rd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- King, D. (2002). The changing shape of leadership. *Educational Leadership*, 59(8), 61-63.
- Knowles, M., Horton, M., & Swanson, T. (2005). *The adult learner: The definitive classic in adult education and human resource development*. Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Konnert, M. W., & Augenstein, J. J. (1995). *The school superintendency: Leading education into the 21st century*. Basel, Switzerland: Technomic Publishing Co.
- Kowalski, T. J. (1995). *Keepers of the flame*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Kowalski, T. J. (2003). *Contemporary school administration* (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Kowalski, T. J. (2005a). Evolution of the school division superintendent position. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 1-18). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Kowalski, T. J. (2005b). Evolution of school superintendent as communicator. *Communication Education*, 54(2), 101-117.
- Kowalski, T. J. (2006). *The school superintendents: Theory, practice, and cases* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Lashway, L. (2002, September). *The superintendency in an age of accountability*. Available at <https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/jspui/bitstream/1794/3387/1/digest161.pdf>.
- Lashway, L. (2006). Visionary Leadership. In S. C. Smith and P. K. Piele. (Eds.) *School Leadership: Handbook for Excellence in Student Learning*. (4th ed.) (pp. 153-177). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What we know about successful school leadership*. Philadelphia: Laboratory for Student Success.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415-434.
- Leithwood, K., Seashore Louis, K., Anderson, S., & Wahlstrom, K. (2004). *How leadership influences student learning*. Learning From Research Project. University of Minnesota, Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement (CAREI); University of Toronto, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education at the University of Toronto (OISEUT); The Wallace Foundation.
- Leithwood, K., & Steinbach, R. (1990). Characteristics of effective secondary school principal's problem solving. *Educational Administration and Foundations*, 5(1), 24-42.
- Leithwood, K., & Steinbach, R. (1995). *Expert problem solving: Evidence from schools and division leaders*. State University of New York, Albany, NY.
- Lewthwaite, B. (2006). "I want to enable teachers in their change": Exploring the role of a superintendent on science curriculum delivery. *Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy*, 52, 1-24.
- Lewthwaite, B., & Cuthbert, P. (2008). Exploring the influence of superintendency on science curriculum delivery: A case study. *Journal of the Manitoba Educational Research Network*, 2, 42-45
- Little, J. W. (1993). Teachers' professional development in a climate of education reform. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 15(2), 129-151.
- McCarthy, M. (2002). The changing environment for school leaders: Market forces. In G. Perreault & F. Lunenburg (Eds.), *The changing world of school administration: Ninth yearbook of the National Council of Professors of Educational Administration* (pp. 91-109). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Massell, D. (2000). *The division role in building capacity: Four strategies* (CPRE Policy Briefs No. RB-32). Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania.

- Marzano, R., Waters, T., & McNulty, B. A. (2005). *School leadership that works: From research to results*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development; Aurora, CO: Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning.
- McCarty, D., & Ramsey, C. (1971). *The school managers: Power and conflict in American public education*. Westport, CT: Greenwood.
- McCullough, B. (2009). Do unto others: A roadmap for communicating well. *School Administrator*, 66(5), 37-38.
- McCurdy, J. M. (1992). *Building better board-administrator relations*. Arlington, VA: American Association of School Administrators.
- Moore-Johnson, S., & The Project on the Next Generation of Teachers. (2004). *Finders and keepers: Helping teachers survive and thrive in our schools*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002). The superintendent's role in leading academically effective school divisions. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils of the modern superintendency* (pp. 175-196). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Murphy, J., & Hallinger, P. (1986). The superintendent as instructional leader: Findings from effective school divisions. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 24(3), 213-236.
- Nanus, B. (1992). *Visionary Leadership: Creating a Compelling Sense of Direction for Your Organization*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Odden, A. R. (1995). *Educational leadership for America's schools*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Orr, M. T. (2006). Learning the superintendency: Socialization, negotiation, and determination. *Teachers College Record*, 108(7), 1362-1403.
- Orvando, M. (2002). School leaders' perceptions of multicultural competencies: Implications for school leadership. In G. Perreault and F. Lunenburg (Eds.), *The changing world of school administration* (Ninth Yearbook of the National Council of Professors of Educational Administration, pp. 347-367). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.
- Orvando, M., & Troxell, D. C. (1997). Superintendents: Multicultural competencies. *Journal of School Leadership*, 7, 409-431.
- Petersen, G. J. (1999). Demonstrated actions of instructional leaders: An examination of five California superintendents. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 7(18), 1-23.
- Petersen, G. J. (2002). Singing the same tune: Principals' and school board members' perceptions of the superintendent's role in curricular and instructional leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 40(2), 158-171.

- Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005). The superintendent as instructional leader: Current practice, future conceptualizations, and implications for preparation. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 107-136). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Petersen, G. J., Sayre, C. W., & Kelly, V. L. (2007). What teachers think: An investigation of teachers' perceptions regarding the superintendent's influence on instruction and learning. *Forum*, 2, 1-29.
- Peterson, K. D., Murphy, J., & Hallinger, P. (1987). Superintendents' perceptions of the control and coordination of the technical core in effective school divisions. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 23(1), 79-95.
- Portis, C., & Garcia, M. W. (2007). The superintendent as change leader. *School Administrator*, 64(3), 18-25.
- Prestine, N. A., & Nelson, B. S. (2003). *How can educational leaders support and promote teaching and learning? New conceptions of learning and leading in schools*. Task Force for the Development of an Agenda for Future Research on Educational Leadership. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Organization, Chicago, IL.
- Randall, R. (1964). *The development and testing of an instrument to describe problem attack behavior of high school principals*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Texas, Austin.
- Richardson, A. (n.d.). Governing with excellence: An introduction to policy governance. Available at <http://www.division17.nbed.nb.ca/content/dec/policy-governance.pdf>
- Sayre, C. W. (2007). *The superintendent's maintenance and influence on classroom instructional capacity: A mixed methodology*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia.
- Schein, E. (2004). *Organizational culture and leadership*. (3rd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sclanfani, S. (1987). *AASA guidelines for preparation of school administrators: Do they represent the important job behaviors of superintendents?* Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Austin, University of Texas.
- Sergiovanni, T. (1992). *Moral leadership: Getting to the heart of school improvement*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Shannon, S., & Bylsma, P. (2004). *Characteristics of improved school divisions: Themes from research*. Olympia, WA: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction.

- Shepard, L. A., & Dougherty, K. C. (1991). *Effects of high-stakes testing on instruction*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association and National Council on Measurement in Education, Chicago.
- Sheppard, B., Brown, J., & Dibbon, D. (2009). *School division leadership matters*. New York: Springer.
- Skirla, L., Scheurich, J., & Johnson, J. F. (2001). Toward a new consensus on high academic achievement for all children. *Educational Urban Society*, 33(3), 227-234.
- Spillane, J. P. (1996). School divisions matter: Local educational authorities and state instructional policy. *Educational Policy*, 10(1), 63-87.
- Starratt, R. J. (1995). *Leaders with vision: The quest for school renewal*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Stecher, B. M., & Mitchell, K. J. (1995). *Portfolio Driven Reform: Vermont Teachers' Understanding of Mathematical Problem Solving*. CSE Technical Report 400. Los Angeles: National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing.
- Superintendents and student achievement: Lessons learned from real success stories*. (n.d.). Available at <http://www.focusonresults.net/newsletter/apr06>
- Taylor, T. W. (2009). *Superintendent levels of desirability for professional development*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Virginia.
- Thayer, Y. (2000). Virginia's Standards make all students stars. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 57(7), 70-72.
- The Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement. (n.d.). *Seven actions that improve school division performance*. Available at <http://www.centerforcsri.org>.
- The Wallace Foundation. (2011). *The school principal as leader: Guiding schools to better teaching and learning*. New York: Author.
- Thompson, S. (2001). The authentic standards movement and its evil twin. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 82(5), 358-363.
- Togneri, W., & Anderson, S. E. (2003). *Beyond islands of excellence: What divisions can do to improve instruction and achievement in all schools*. Washington, DC: Learning First Alliance. Available at <http://www.learningfirst.org/sites/default/files/assets/biefullreport.pdf>
- Usmani, S. (2010). *Opening the door: A comparative study of leadership competencies of traditional and nontraditional superintendents*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California.

- Vogler, K. E. (2002). The impact of high-stakes, state-mandated student performance assessment on teachers' instructional practices. *Education, 123*(1), 39-56.
- Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. (2006). *School division leadership that works: The effect of superintendent leadership on student achievement*. A working paper. Denver, CO: McREL.
- Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2007). The primacy of superintendent leadership. *School Administrator, 64*(3), 10-16.
- Wilmore, E. L. (2008). *Superintendent leadership: Applying the Educational Leadership Constituent Council (ELCC) standards for improved division performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 39*(3), 398-425.
- Wright, H., Jr., & Harris, S. (2010). The role of the superintendent in closing the achievement gap in diverse small school divisions. *Planning and Changing, 41*(3/4), 220-233.
- Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). *Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement*. Washington, DC: Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest.
- Zander, R. S., & Zander, B. (2000). *The art of possibility: Transforming professional and personal life*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.